

**Bilaga 3/Appendix 3. QUADAS 2 (modifierad)****Author:****Year****Assessor:****Extra domains**

Item	Yes	Unclear	No
Is the MR protocol well described?			
Age Mimicry: even age distribution?			

**Domain 1. PATIENT SELECTION****A. Risk for bias**

Describe methods of patient selection:

Item	Yes	Unclear	No
Was the selection process well described?			
Did the study avoid in inappropriate inclusions?			
Did the study avoid inappropriate exclusions?			
<b>Risk of bias</b>	low	moderate	high
<b>Could the selection of patients have introduced bias?</b>			

**Domain 2. INDEX TEST**

Describe the index test and how it was conducted and interpreted:

**A. Risk for bias**

Item	Yes	Unclear	No
Were the index test results interpreted without knowledge of the results of the reference standard?			
Was the ossification stage/classification well described ?			
Was the index test assessed by more than one observer?			
b) was the majority of images assessed by more than 2 observes			
<b>Risk of bias</b>	low	moderate	high
<b>Could the conduct of interpretation of the index test have introduced bias?</b>			

**Domain 4. FLOW AND TIMING****A. Risk for bias**

<b>Item</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>Unclear</b>	<b>No</b>
Were all patients included in the analysis?			
Risk of bias	low	moderate	high
<b>Could the patient flow have introduced bias?</b>			