SBU

Bilaga till rapport

Behandling av hetsätningsstörning, rapport 248 (2016)

Bilaga 5 Tabellverk över ingående studier

Table 1. Included studies in alphabetic order

First author	Population	Intervention	Comparison	Results	Risk of bias
Year	Inclusion criteria	Duration	Duration	(mean±SD)	Comments
Country	Setting	Drop out	Drop out		Study limitation
Reference	Study period	Compliance	Compliance		
	Follow-up				
Agras et al	Population	CBT+weight loss therapy	Weight loss therapy	Binge days/week	Sampling method
1994	N=108 (22% of screened)	(CBT-WL)	(WL)	Post: WL: 1.5±0.2, CBT-WL: 1.2±1.3	Unclear
USA	Mean age: 45±10 (range 22–65)	n=36	n=37	CBT-WL-D: 0.9±0.9	
[1]	Age onset of BE: 19	12 weekly sessions of CBT		3 months: WL: 2, CBT-WL: 1.7	Blinding
	Mean BMI: 38.6±6.6	followed by 18 WL-sessions	30 group sessions a	CBT-WL-D: 1.5	Assessor blinded
	BE/week: 4.5±1.4		90 minutes, weekly		
		CBT+weight loss	for 24 weeks then	Remisson	Handling of missing
	Inclusion criteria	therapy+desipramine (CBT-	biweekly	WL: 19%, CBT-WL: 37%, CBT-WL-D:	data
	Proposed criteria for BED by	WL-D)	-	41%	No ITT
	Walsh (1992), females, no	n=36	Duration	3 months: WL: 14%, CBT-WL: 28%	
	antidepressant medication		36 weeks	CBT-WL-D: 32%	
	·	Desipramine added for the last			
	Setting	6 months, start dos 25 mg/day,	Drop out	Depression (BDI)	
	Outpatients, via advertisements	max dose 300 mg/day	10 (27%)	WL: 11.3±10.3	
			, ,	CBT-WL: 8.9±7.6	
	Study period	Duration	Compliance	CBT-WL-D: 7.8±7.8	
	NR	36 weeks	NR -		
				Weight (kg)	
	Follow-up	Drop out		WL: 99.2±16.9	
	Post treatment (36 weeks) and 3	CBT-WL: 6 (17%)		CBT-WL: 100±17.6	
	months	CBT-WL-D: 8 (23%)		CBT-WL-D: 105.9±20.5	
		Compliance			
		NR			

Alfonsson et al	Population	Behavioural activation (BA)	Waiting list	Remission rates (zero OBEs for past	Sampling method
2015	N=100 (71% of screened)	n=50	n=50	28 days, based on EDE), N (%)	Random no list,
Sweden	Mean age: 44±11	11-00	11-00	I: 10 (29%), C: 10 (26%), ns	online randomization
[2]	Mean BMI: 41±5.3	BA: 4-7/group, 10 weekly 90	Duration	(=0 /0/), 0 (=0 /0/),	
[-]	94% females	min sessions following	3 months	Binge eating, OBE days	Blinding
		program by Lejuez et al (2010)		Post: I: 7.35±7.03. C: 9.29±9.06	Blinded assessment
	Inclusion criteria	and Kanter 2009.	Drop out	3 months: I: 4.67±6.17	
	Patients with obesity (BMI≥30)		12 (24%)	6 months: i: 5.38±7.28	Handling of missing
	and met DSM-5 criteria for BED	Duration	(,		data
		10 weeks	Compliance	EDE-Q Total score	No ITT analysis
	Setting		38 (76%)	Post: I: 3.04±0.84, C: 2.95±0.97	
	Outpatients, recruited from	Drop out	, ,	,	
	routine care at a specialized	16 (32%)		Depression (HADS)	
	clinic for obesity	,		Depression post I: 5.71±3.83, C:	
	•	Compliance		8.05±5.29	
	Study period	34 (68%) (6 or more sessions			
	January 2010–February 2012	out of 10)			
	Follow-up Post treatment, For BA: also at 3 and 6 months FU				
Brownley et al	Population	Chromium picolinate (CrPi)	Placebo	Post treatment	Sampling method
2013	N=24 (58% of screened)	High dose: 1000 µg/day	n=7	Binge frequency (episodes/months)	NR
USA	Mean age: 36.6±11	n=8		High dose: -1.65±0.76	
[3]	Mean BMI: 43.2±5.4		Duration	Mod dose: -0.93±0.70	Blinding
[0]	83.3% females	Moderate dose: 600 µg/day	6 months	C: -0.97±0.78	Double blind
		n=9			
	Inclusion criteria		Drop out	EDE-Q Global score	Handling of missing
	Age 18-65, met DSM-5 criteria	Duration	1	High dose: -0.21±0.07	data
	for BED, no current suicidal or	6 months		Mod dose: -0.13±0.07	NR
	homicidal intent or other		Compliance	C: -0.04±0.07	
	psychiatric conditions, BMI 25-	Drop out	NR		
	44	High dose: 1		Depression (QIDS-SR), decline	
		moderate dose:1		High dose: -0.3±0.21	
	Setting			Mod dose: -0.41±0.19	
		Compliance		C: -0.03±0.21	

Carrard et al 2011 Switzerland	Outpatients, recruited via advertisements Study period NR Follow-up Post treatment. For intervention group 3 months data was available but not for placebo. Run in period N=28, 1 months, 4 was identified as placebo responders Population N=74 (46% of screened) Mean age: 36±11.4	Internet self-help guided programme n=37	Waiting list, Started treatment after 6 months	Abstinence rate % (n) Post: I: 35.1 (13), C: 8.1% (3)	Sampling method Computer generated randomization
				Post: I: 35.1 (13), C: 8.1% (3)	
[4]	BMI: 28.8±5.7 Full BED: 58%	French programme, based on	n=37	Objective binge episodes Post: 5.57.4, C: 9.1±8.8	sequence
		online programme for BN,	Duration		Blinding
	Inclusion criteria Age 18–60, female, average	SALUT project. Consisted of 11 modules	6 months	EDE-Q Total Post: I: 2.5±1.1, C: 2.9±1	None
	internet skills, met sub-threshold		Drop out		Handling of missing
	or full DSM-IV criteria for BED, 1 OBE/week for ≥3 months	Duration 6 months	7	Depression (BDI-II) Post: I:10±7.4, C: 13.2±9.6	data ITT
	ODE/WEEK IOI 23 IIIOIILIIS	O MONUIS	Compliance	1 03t. 1.10±1.4, O. 10.2±3.0	
	Setting	Drop out	Third assessment 30	BMI	Funding
	Outpatients, recruited via advertisements	9	(81.1%)	Post: I:29.2±6, C: 27.9±5.4	Hans Wilsdorf foundation, MRNT-CT
	Study period	Compliance Third assessment 28 (75.7%)			
	Started 2008	11ma a33033mont 20 (10.170)			
	Follow-up				
	Post intervention and 6 months				

Carter and	Population	Guided self-help (GSH)	Waiting list	Binge eating/28 days	Sampling method
Fairburn	N=72 (31% of screened, 95% of	Programme led approach with	n=24	Post: C:13.5±10.3, GSH 4.3±7.8, PSH:	Permuted block by 3
1998	eligible)	a non-specialist therapists as		9.3±11.7	
United	Mean age: 39.7±10	facilitator, between 6-8 25	Duration	3 months: GSH: 3.6±3.5, PSH: 5±4.3	Blinding
Kingdom	Mean BMI: 31.4	minutes sessions	12 weeks	6 months: GSH: 3.7±4.2, PSH: 4.7±4	Assessors blinded
[5]		n=24+10			
	Inclusion criteria		Drop out	Global EDE-Q score	Handling of missing
	Women aged 18–65, BED	Pure self-help (PSH)	1	Post: C: 3.5±0.8, GSH: 2.1±1.2, PSH:	data
	according to EDE and research	participants were asked to		2.7±1.3	ITT analysis
	assessment interview	read the book Overcoming		3 months: GSH: 2.1±1.3, PSH:2.6±1.5	
		binge eating and to follow its		6 months: GSH: 2.4±1.3, PSH: 2.6±1.5	
	Setting	self-help programme			
	Single center, outpatient	n=24+11		Ceased binge eating, % (n)	
	recruited via advertisements			Post: C 8% (2), GSH: 50% (17), PSH:	
		Duration		43% (15)	
	Study period	12 weeks		3 months: GSH: 41% (14), PSH:	
	NR			37%(13)	
		Drop out		6 months: GSH:50% (17), PSH: 40%	
	Follow-up	GSH: 8		(14)	
	Post treatment, 3 and 6 months	PSH: 0			
				BMI	
				Post: C:31.9±7.4, GSH: 31.7±6.1, PSH:	
				30.7±6.6	
				3 months: GSH: 30.8±5.9, PSH:	
				29.4±5.6	
				6 months: GSH: 31.6±6.2, PSH:	
				30.4±6.5	
Cassin et al	Population	Adapted Motivational	Self-help handbook	Binge eating frequency	Sampling method
2008	N=108 (84% of eligible)	interviewing (AMI)+receiving	Participants were	(days/months)	Computerized MINIM
Canada	Mean age: 42.5±12.7	self-help hand book	asked to read the	I: 2.8±3.5, C: 6.3±6	program
[6]	BED duration: 15.1±11.6		book and to complete		
	Mean BMI: 332±7.8	One individual AMI-session	the worksheets at the	Remission	Blinding
		(mean length 82 minutes), the	initial sessions	I: 15 (27.8%): C: 6 (11.1%)	Assessor blinded
	Inclusion criteria	protocol was based on a book	n=54		
	Female, met DSM-IV-TR for	by Treasue and Schmidt 1997,		Depression (BDI-II)	Handling of missing
	BED		Duration	I: 14.2±11.1, C: 16.2±12.2	data

	Setting Outpatients, recruited from local television news, magazine, websites or radio Study period October 2004–July 2005 Follow-up post intervention	but modified for use with individuals with BED n=54 Duration 16 weeks Drop out 6 (11%)	16 weeks Drop out 8 (15%)		ITT analysis
Castelnuovo et al 2011 Italy [7]	Population N=60 (60% of screened) Mean age: 46±11 Mean weight: 106.95±6.94 100% females Inclusion criteria Age 18–65, BMI≥30, BED DSM-IV criteria Setting Consecutive inpatient from single clinical center Study period 2008 Follow-up Post treatment and 6 months	BST (Brief Strategic Therapy) n=30 Inpatient treatment: diet, physical activity, dietitian counselling, 8 sessions of BST and 8 out patients telephone based support sessions Duration 7 months (1 month inpatient and 6 month outpatient sessions) Drop out NR	CBT n=30 Inpatient treatment: diet, physical activity, dietitian counselling, 8 sessions of CBT and 8 telephone based out patients sessions of CBT Duration 7 months (1 month inpatients and 6 months outpatients) Drop out NR	BED remission, number of weekly binge episodes <2 6 months: BST: 20%, CBT: 63.3%, p 0.001 Change in weight 6 months: CBT: -5.95±17.9, BST: - 10.53±6.14	Sampling method Web site randomization Blinding Unclear if assessor is blinded Handling of missing data Unclear
Claudino et al 2007 Brazil [8]	Population N=73 (91% of eligible, 15% of screened) Mean age: 38 Mean binge days/week: 3.8 Mean BMI: 37.4	Topiramate+CBT n=37 Topiramate: start dose 25 mg/day for 14 days, increased by 25 mg until target dose of	Placebo+group CBT n=36 CBT: 19 90-min weekly session (adapted Fairburn	Binge eating frequency, days/wk Post: I: 0±0.2, C: 0.3±0.6 Binge episodes/week Post: I:0±0.2, C: 0.3±0.8	Sampling method Cluster of ten, computer generated list Blinding

	Inclusion criteria BMI≥30, age 18–60, DSM-IV criteria for BED, >17 BES, not prior been using topiramate Setting Multicentre, outpatients, spontaneously seeking treatment or recruited via media Study period September 2004–April 2005 Follow-up Post treatment Run in period 2–5 weeks, single blind	200 mg/day. Patients presented with <5% reduction in weight or <50% reduction in binge episodes were prescribed increased medication till max dose 300md/day CBT: 19 90-min weekly session (adapted Fairburn model for BED), group of 10, led by therapist. Last 3 sessions occurred biweekly Duration 21 weeks Drop out 7 (19%)	model for BED), group of 10, led by therapist. The last 3 sessions occurred biweekly Duration 21 weeks Drop out 10 (20%)	BED remission I: 31/37, C:22/36, p 0.03 Weight Kg: Post: I: 89.8±13.2, C: 97.5±10.5 BMI: I: 35±3.5, c: 36.7±4.7, p 0.0002 Depression (BDI) I: 10.9±7, c: 9.2±6.9, p0.2 Adverse events Paresthesia: I: 48.6%, C: 11.1 Taste perversion: I: 24.3%, C: 0 Dysuria: I: 13.5%, C: 0 Insomnia: I: 2.7%, C: 16.7%	Double blind (topiramate), assessor blinded Handling of missing data ITT analysis Fundings Janssen-Cilag Farmaceuticia, Brazil
Corwin et al 2012 USA [9]	Population N=18 Mean age: 44.8±3.7 Mean BMI:37.7±2.3 50% females Inclusion criteria Adults, self-reported binge eating ≥3 times/week Setting Outpatients, recruited through website/newsletter Study period NR	Baclofen 3 times/day Dose titration: 10 days to titrate up, 28 days with full dose (20 mg 3 times/day), 10 days to titrate down Wash out period: 15 days Duration 48 days Drop out 5 (28%) Compliance	Placebo Duration 48 days Drop out 0	Binge frequency (% days±SEM) 1: 0.63±0.08, C: 0.78±0.05 BES score 1: 22.9±3.3, C: 21.4±3.1 Depression (HAD) 1: 7.1±1.2, C: 5.8±1	Sampling method Unclear Blinding Double blind Handling of missing data Unclear Fundings Penn State institute for diabetes and obesity

	Follow-up Post intervention	NR			
Dingemans et al 2007	Population N=52 Mean age: 37.6	CBT n=30	Waiting List n=22	Objective overeating/28 days Post: I: 2.1±5.5, C: 4.6±6.0	Sampling method Unclear
Netherlands [10]	Mean BMI: 38.9±7.9 94% females	CBT: 15 2 hours sessions, the 10 first were weekly, last five were biweekly. Sessions led	Offered CBT after end of treatment	Subjective BE episodes/28 days Post: I: 2.3±5.4, C: 7.9±13.3	Blinding Unclear
	Inclusion criteria BED according to the DSM-IV	by two trained therapists Duration	Duration 20 weeks	OBE abstinence, N (%) Post: I: 19 (65%), C: 4 (18%)	Handling of missing data NR
	Setting Outpatients, recruited from 3 eating disorder centers and via	20 weeks Drop out	Drop out 0	Post: I: 1.3±1., C: 2.3±0.9	Other Comments All analyses were
	advertisements in local newspaper and websites	2 (7%) Compliance	Compliance unclear	BMI, Change F(1.49)=2.83, p=0.1	corrected for BL differences between the groups
	Study period NR	unclear		Depression (BDI) Post: I: 12.9±13.2, C: 17.4±10.5	
	Follow-up Post-treatment and 1year				
Golay et al 2005 Switzerland	Population N=89 (91% of screened) Mean age:41	Orlistat, 120 mg, TTD with main meal n=44	Placebo n=45	BED, % (DSM IV) I: 9/39 (23%), C: 10/34 (29%), p0.539	Sampling method Generated by sponsor
[11]	Mean BMI: 36.6 91% females	Duration 24 weeks	Duration 24 weeks	Binge eating episodes, weekly I: 1, C: 1.7	Blinding Double blind
	Inclusion criteria Age 18–65, BMI≥30, BED met DSM-IV criteria	Drop out 5 (11%)	Drop out 13 (29%)	Depression score (BDI) 1: 8.2±0.8, C: 11.6±1.6.2	Handling of missing data
	Setting Outpatients, two centers	Compliance NR	Compliance NR	QoL (NHP) I: 5.4±0.8, C: 6.8	ITT analysis Funding
	specializing in obesity and				Roche Pharma AG

	eating disorder, consecutive sample			Weight loss (LSM) 24 week: I: -7.4%, C:-2.3%, p0001	
	Study period NR				
	Follow-up Post intervention				
Grilo et al 2014 USA [12]	Population N=52 Racially ethnically diverse obese individuals Mean age: 44.5 mean BMI: 37.9 73.4% females Inclusion criteria Age 18–65, DSM-5 criteria for BED, BMI≥30-< 50 Setting Primary care, recruited via posters and flyers Study period NR Follow-up Post treatment, 6 and 12 months	Self-help-CBT (shCBT)+placebo capsules n=25 shCBT: given the self-help programme Overcoming Binge eating (Fairburn 1995), primary care physicians instructed participants to read and follow the self-help CBT manual Duration 16 weeks Drop out Post: 2 (8%) 6 moths:2 12 months: 4 Compliance Post: 21 (84%)	Placebo capsules n=27 Duration 16 weeks Drop out Post: 7 (26%) 6 moths: 5 (18.5%) 12 months: 4 (15%) Compliance Post: 14 (52%)	Remission rates (zero OBEs past 28 days, EDE interview) Post: I: 6/25 (24%), C: 8/27 (29.6%) 6 months: I: 10/25 (40%), C: 11/27 (40.7%) 12 months: I: 11/25 (40%), C: 10/27 (37%) Binge episodes/months (EDE) Post: I: 6.4±7.6, C: 5.3±9.9 6 months: I: 3.6±6.4, C: 5.7±10 12 months: I: 4.9±8.5, C:6.7±13.9 EDE-Q Global score Post: I: 1.71.2, C: 2.1±1.2 6 months: I: 1.7±0.9, C: 1.8±1 12 months: I: 1.6±1, C: 1.8±1.1 Depression (BDI) Post: I: 9.9±9.7, C: 9.6±11.3 6 months: I: 10.3±10.6, C: 8.7±5.1 12 months: 10.5±9.1, C: 7.5±6.9 BMI	Sampling method Randomization schedule, stratified by DSM diagnosis of BED Blinding Unclear Handling of missing data ITT analysis Other comments The groups that received sibutramine were excluded since this drug is no longer used in Sweden due to adverse events
				Post: I: 35.9±5.6, C: 39.6±5.7 6 months: I: 35.3±5.2, C:38.8±5.1 12 months: 35.4±5.9, C: 39.5±5.9	

Grilo et al 2013 USA [13]	Population N=48 (65% of eligible) Racially ethnically diverse obese individuals Full BED, N=34 Sub-BED, N=14 Mean age: 45.8±11.0 mean BMI: 37.62±4.79 70% females Inclusion criteria Age ≤65, DSM-5 criteria for BED, BMI≥30–50 Setting Primary care, recruited via posters and flyers Study period NR Follow-up Post treatment	Self-help-CBT (shCBT) n=24 shCBT: given the self-help programme Overcoming Binge eating (Fairburn 1995), primary care physicians instructed participants to read and follow the self-help CBT manual Duration 4 months Drop out 0	Usual care, (advice and treatment recommended by their primary care physicians) n=24 Drop out 0	Remission rates (zero OBEs past month, EDE interview) I: 6/24, C: 2/24 Binge frequencies EDE OBE/month I: 5.75±5.94, C: 6.50±7.22 EDE-Q Global score I: 3.02±0.88, C: 2.81±0.89 Depression BDI I: 8.88±7.67, C: 11.96±7.38 BMI I: 37.45±5.34, C: 37.42±4.44	Sampling method Randomization schedule, stratified by DSM diagnosis of BED, blocks of 12 Blinding Unclear Handling of missing data ITT analysis
Grilo et al 2013 USA [14]	Population N=79 (57% of screened) persons with mental health needs, Mean age: 46.32±9.68 Mean BMI: 37.57±6.62 82% females Inclusion criteria Aged 21–65 years, BMI ≥30, BED per DSM5 criteria Setting	Orlistat+behavioural weight loss (BWL) n=20 85% females Orlistat: 120 mg 3 times daily BWL: culturally adaptation of the Diabetes-Prevention-Program, 16 sessions Duration 4 months	placebo+BWL n=20 70% females Placebo: 3 times daily BWL: culturally adaptation of the Diabetes-Prevention- Program, 16 sessions Duration 4 months	Remission Post: I: 12 (60%), C: 14 (17%) 6 months: I: 10 (50%), C: 10 (50%) EDE total Post: I: 1.6±0.9, C: 2.0±0.7 6 months: I: 1.5±0.8, C: 1.9±1 BMI Post: I: 37.9±6.9, C: 36±5 6 months: I: 37.6±5.7, C: 36.7±5.3 Depression, (BDI)	Sampling method Stratification Blinding Double blind Handling of missing data ITT analysis Other comments Only included data from BED population

	Consecutive sample recruited via clinical teams and referrals at a community mental health center serving economically disadvantaged persons Study period August 2007–October 2009 Follow-up 6 months	Drop out Post treatment: 1 (5%) 6 months: 2 (10%) Completers 14 (70%)	Drop out Post treatment & 6 months: 1 (5%) Completers 15 (75%)	Post: I: 11.4±12, C: 17.7±12 6 months: I: 10.3±10.1, C: 20.9±11.9	
Grilo et al 2011 USA [15]	Population N=125 Mean age: 44.±9.4 Mean BMI: 38.8±5.8 67% females Inclusion criteria Age 18–60, met full DSM-IV research criteria for BED, BMI 30–55 Setting Outpatients recruited via print advertisements Study period NR Follow-up 6 and 12 months	CBT+Behavioural Weight loss program (BWL) n=35 CBT was delivered first, followed by BWL. CBT: 16 sessions over 16 weeks, following the protocol by Fairburn 1993. BWL: 16 sessions over 24 weeks following the manualized LEARN programme for weight management (Brownell 2000) BWL N=45 16 group 60-min sessions for 24 weeks Drop out CBT-BWL BWL Compliance	CBT n=45 16 group 60-min sessions Duration 24 weeks Drop out Compliance 34 (76%)	Remission rate (zero OBEs the last 28 days) Post: CBT: 44.4%, BWL: 37.8%, CBT-BWL 48.6% 6-month: CBT: 51.1%, BWL: 33.3%, CBT-BWL: 48.6% 12-month: CBT: 51.1%, BWL: 35.6%, CBT-BWL:40% Binge episodes/months Post: CBT: 2.2±3.8, BWL: 4.6±11, CBT-BWL: 3.4±9 6 months: CBT: 2.7±8.5, BWL: 5.5±7.6, CBT-BWL: 3.2±7.8 12 months: CBT: 2.4±8.1, BWL: 4.6±6, CBT-BWL: 4±8.4 EDE-Q Global score Post: CBT: 1.7±0.9, BWL: 1.8±0.8, CBT-BWL: 1.6±0.9 6 months: CBT: 1.6±0.8, BWL: 1.8±0.7 CBT-BWL: 1.4±0.9 12 months: CBT: 1.5±0.8, BWL: 1.6±0.8 CBT-BWL: 1.4±0.9	Sampling method No restriction or stratification, computer-generated sequence. Concealed allocation Blinding Unclear Handling of missing data ITT analysis

•					
		BWL: 31 (69%)			
		BT+BWL: 21 (60%)		BMI	
				Post: CBT: 38.5±5.7, BWL: 35.7±5.9,	
				CBT-BWL38.9±6.2	
				6-month: CBT: 38.7±5.7, BWL:	
				36.6±6.8, CBTBWL: 38.2±5.3	
				12-month CBT: 38.3±6, BWL: 36.6±6.5,	
				CBT-BWL: 38.7±5.6	
				Depression (BDI)	
				Post: CBT: 10.1±8.8, BWL: 11.1±8.3,	
				CBT-BWL: 9.7±9.2	
				6 months: CBT: 8.1±7.3, BWL:	
				11.1±8.7, CBT-BWL:10.1±9.9	
				12 months: CBT: 9.1±7.9, BWL:	
				9.6±7.7, CBT-BWL 9.7±9.3	
Grilo et al	Population	CBT- guided self-help (CBT-	Placebo, same no of	Remission rate (No OBEs for past 28	Sampling method
2005	N=90	gsh)	sessions as CBT or	days)	5:5:2 ratio, permuted
[16]	Mean age: 46.3±9	n=37	BWL	udy5)	blocks
Masheb et al	Mean BMI: 35.5±7	11-57	n=15	Self-monitoring, n (%)	DIOCKS
2007	Age onset BED: 27.1/27.5/28.9	Behavioural weight loss	11-13	BT: 17 (46%), BWL: 7 (18.4%), C: 2	Blinding
[17]	79% females	treatment (BWL-gsh) N=38	Duration	(13.3%)	Unclear
Masheb et al	7970 lemales	treatment (BWL-gSii) N=30	12 weeks	(13.5%)	Officieal
2008	Inclusion criteria	CBT: self-help version of the	12 Weeks	Remission rate EDE	Handling of missing
USA		professional therapist manual	Completers	CBT: 22 (59.5%), BWL: 9 (23.7%), C: 4	data
	DSM-IV criteria for BED, age18–		87%		
[18]	60, BMI≥27	(Fairburn, Marcus, & Wilson,	01%	(26.7%)	ITT analysis
	Cotting	1993)		Objective BED/menth	
	Setting	DWI goby manual is the		Objective BED/month self-monitored	
	Outpatients recruited via print	BWLgsh: manual is the			
	advertisements	LEARN Program for Weight		CBT: 3.8±6.1, BWL: 7.3±8.2, C: 6.8±6.1	
	Ct. du mania d	Management, 16 lessons		EDE-Q method	
	Study period	Bungtian		CBT: 2.8±5.1, BWL: 6.7±8, C: 8.1±6.9	
	NR	Duration		D	
		12 weeks		Depression (BDI)	
	Follow-up			CBT: 9.5±9.4, BWL: 12.0±10.3, C:	
	Post treatment	Completers		11.4±8.5	

Grilo et al 2005 USA [19]	Population N=50 (29% of screened, 82% of eligible) Mean age: 47±7 (range 35–58) Mean age onset BED: 25 Mean BMI: 36±4.7 88% females Inclusion criteria DSM-IV criteria for BED, age 35–60, BMI≥30 Setting Outpatient, consecutive, via advertisement, Yale University Medical School Study period NR Follow-up 3 months	CBT:87% BWL: 66% Orlistat+gsCBT+Diet Orlistat 120 mg 3 times per CBT: Individually, using guided self-help and Overcoming Binge Eating (Fairburn 1995). 6 individual meetings (15 – 20 min) Diet: balanced calorie diet women 1200 kcal, men;1500 kcal, fat ≤30%, follow Food Guide Pyramid n=25 Mean age of onset: 23.5±12.2 84% females Duration 12 weeks Drop out 6 (24%)	Placebo+gsCBT+Diet n=25 Mean age of onset: 27.2±14.0 92% females Duration 12 weeks Drop out 5 (20%) Compliance 20 (80%)	BMI CBT: 33.1±.8, BWL: 34.5±8.8, C: 35.8±7.0 Rapid responder (≥65% reduction in BE by 4th treatment wk) CBT:23/37, BWL: 18/38 Remission rates (No OBEs for past 28 days, based on EDE), n (%) Post: I: 16 (64%), C: 9 (36%),p 0.048 3 months: I: 13 (52%), C: 13 (52%) Binge Eating, OBEs/Mo Post: I 3.2±5.5), C: 3.6±5.2) p 0.62 3 months: I: 3.4±6.5), C: 2.8±5.3), p0.87 Depression (BDI) Post: I: 10.1±7.7, C: 14.7±9.0 3 months: I: 9.9±8.6, C: 14.6±10.9 EDE-Q Global score Post: I 2.1±1, C: 2.4±0.7 3 months: I: 2.2±1.1, C: 2.3±1 Weight (Kg) Post: I: −3.5±3.5, C: −1.6±2.4 3 months: I: 3.4±5.0, C: 1.3±3.1 Adverse events Gastrointestinal events related to Orlistat (flatus with discharge, fatty or aith stoole with discharge with discharge, fatty or aith stoole with discharge with discharge.	Sampling method Computer generated, no restrictions Blinding Double Handling of missing data ITT analysis Funding American Heart Association; zonaghue Medical Research Foundation

				the placebo group Two patients in the Orlistad+gsCBT dropped out due to side effects	
Grilo, Masheb	Population	Fluoxetine (F), n=27	Placebo	Binge episodes/months (EDE-Q)	Sampling method
and Wilson	N=108 (54% of eligible, 26% of	CBT+placebo (CBT) n=28	n=27	Post: C: 7.2±9.2, F: 10.3±11.1, CBT:	Computer generated
2005	screened)	CBT+fluoxetine (CBT-F) n=26		1.8±3.9, CBT+F: 4.7±6.9	table, blocks of 8,
[20]	Mean age: 44±8.6 (range 21–	F 00 / 1	Duration	6 months EMM±SE	sealed concealment
Grilo et al	59)	Fluoxetine: 60 mg/day	16 weeks	F: 11.63±2.37, CBT: 5.73±1.43	Dlinding
2006	Mean BMI: 36.3±7.9	(mornings) CBT: weekly individual 60-	Drop out	CBT+F: 3.94±1.55 12 months EMM±SE	Blinding Double
[21] Grilo et al	78% females	minutes sessions for 16	5 (19%)	F: 10.40±1.92, CBT: 4.63±1.48,	Double
2012	Inclusion criteria	weeks, followed Fairburn's	3 (1970)	CBT+F: 4.64±1.70	Handling of missing
USA	DSM-IV criteria for BED, age	manual for BN	Completers	OB1+1: 4:04±1:70	data
[22]	18–60, 100%–200% of IDW	manaarior Biv	23 (85%)	Binge episodes/months (daily self-	ITT
[]	10-00, 10070-20070 01 1500	Duration	20 (0070)	monitoring)	
	Setting	16 weeks		Post: C: 7.4±10.2, F: 11.0±11.2	Funding
	Consecutive, Outpatient, Yale			CBT: 2.6±5.8, CBT+F: 4.2±6.9	National Institutes of
	University, New Haven	Drop out			Healthy. Eli Lily and
		6 (23%)		Remission rate (Per EDE)	Co provided
	Study period			Post: C: 26%, F: 26%, CBT: 61%,	fluoxetine and
	NR	Completers		CBT+F: 50%	matching Placebo
		Fluoxetine: 21 (78%)		6 months: F 1/27, CBT: 7/28,	Pills
	Follow-up	CBT: 22 (79%) CBT+F: 20 (77%)		CBT+F 9/26, 12 months: F1/27, CBT: 10/28, CBT+F:	
	Post treatment, 6 and 12 months	CB1+F. 20 (77%)		7/26	
	(not for placebo)	6 months		1/20	
		Fluoxetine: 16 (59%)		EDE-Q Global score	
		CBT: 21 (75%)		Post: C: 2.1±1.6, F: 3.1±1.6, CBT:	
		CBT+F 17 (65%)		2.1±1, CBT+F: 2.2±1.5	
		,		6 months, EMM±SE	
		12 months		F:3.52±0.27, CBT+F: 2.5±0.26, CBT:	
		Fluoxetine: 17 63(%)		2.5±0.24	
		CBT: 22 (79%)		12 months, EMM±SE	
		CBT+fluoxetine: 19 (73%)		F: 3.32±0.26, CBT+F: 2.4±0.25, CBT:	
				2.73±0.24	

				Depression (BDI) Post: C: 11.7±10.3, F: 11.8±9.8, CBT: 6.5±6.8, CBT+F: 9.2±7.3 6 months, EMM±SE F: 14.44±1.67, CBT: 10.19±1.49, CBT+F: 10.73±1.64 12 months EMM±SE F: 12.88±1.63, CBT: 11.43±1.49, CBT+f: 11.17±1.57 BMI Post: C: 35.7±7.5, F: 38.1±9.6, CBT: 34.2±5.8, CBT+F:34.9±7.9 6 mon EMM±SE F: 36.16±0.58, CBT: 35.93±0.50, CBT+F: 36.86±0.58 12 mon EMM±SE F: 36.15±0.57, CBT: 34.76±0.51, CBT+F: 35.83±0.58	
Guerdjikova et	Population	Duloxetine	Placebo	Remission	Sampling method
al 2012	N=40 (63% of screened) Mean age: 40±12	n=20	n=20	I: 10 (56%), C: 6 (30%)	1:1 ratio, permuted blocks, computer
USA	Mean BMI: 40.6±7.4	30 mg/day for 7 days,	Dose same as	Binge day frequency/week	generated
[23]	88% females	increased to 60 mg/day two	intervention group	I: 1.0±1.7 (N=18), C: 1.3±1.2 (N=20),	generated
[]		weeks. In absence of	g. c ap	p0.15	Blinding
	Inclusion criteria	remission of BE or depressive	Duration		Double blind
	Aged 18 and 65 years, met	symptoms, side effects: dose	12 weeks	Binge episode frequency per week	
	DSMIV-TR criteria for BED, ≥2	could increase to 90 mg/day	Drop out	I: 1.1±2.0, C: 1.3±1.2, p0.27	Handling of missing data
	binge days/week, met DSM-IV- TR criteria for depressive	forth week and 120 mg/day at beginning of sixth week.	Drop out 6 (30%)	ВМІ	ITT analysis
	disorder: ≥25 on IDS-C	Dosing either 1or 2/day	0 (0070)	1:37.7±7.5, C: 42.9±7.7, p0.08	TTT allalysis
			Compliance	1.01.1.1.0, 0. 42.01.1, po.00	Fundins
	Setting	Duration	14/20	Depression	Eli Lilly
	Outpatients, recruited by advertisements, 2 sites:	12 weeks		l: 19.1±11.5, C: 21.6±12.7	,

Guerdjikova et	University of Cincinnati Medical Center and the Lindner Center of HOPE Study period NR Follow-up Post treatment Population	Drop out 7 (35%) Compliance 13/20 Lamotrigine, flexible dose	Placebo	Remission	Sampling method
al 2009 USA [24]	N=51 (71% of screened) Mean age: 44 Mean BMI: 40 79.5% females Inclusion criteria Met DS-IV criteria for BED, BMI≥30, age 18–65 Setting Single center, University Medical center, Outpatients recruited via advertisements Study period NR Follow-up Post intervention and 1 week after	n=26 84% females Starting at 25mg/day for 2 weeks, then successively increased to 100mg/bid if tolerated Duration 16 weeks (12 weeks dosage titration phase and 4 weeks maintenance phase) Drop out NR Compliance 56% (14) completed	n=25 75% females Duration Drop out NR Compliance 71% (17) completed	ITT: I: 13 (52%), C: 18 (75%), p0.14 Completers: I: 8 (27%), C: 16 (94%) Binge days/week I: 1.58±2.12, C: 0.76±1.71 Binges/week I:1.65±2.35, C: 0.76±1.71 BMI I: 38.24±5.7, C: 41.50±7.42 Depression (MADRS) I: 2.16±3.34, c: 0.56±1.23 EDE-Q Total score I: 7.96±4.61, C: 9.91±4.19 Adverse events No significant difference between the groups. The most common: Headache: I: 35%, C: 28% Insomnia: I: 35%, C: 20%	1:1 ratio computer generate, permuted blocks Blinding Double-blind Handling of missing data ITT analysis Funding GlaxoSmithKleine
Guerdjikova et al	Population N=44 (79% of screened)	Escitalopram n=21 (20)	Placebo n=23	Somnolence: I: 27%, C:8% Binges/week I: 0.9±1.4 (n20), C: 1.7±1.5	Sampling method

2008	Mean age: 39		Dose same as for		1:1 ratio, permuted
USA	Mean BMI: 40.2	10 mg/day for 7 days, then 20	intervention	Binge days/week	blocks, computer
[25]	98% females	mg/day for 7 days, and then		I: 0.9±1.4, C: 1.6±1.4	generated
		30 mg/day, remainder of the	Duration		
	Inclusion criteria	study. If side effects dosage	12 weeks	Remission	Blinding
	Age 18–60, BED according to	reduced to 10mg/day		I: 50%, C: 26%	Double blind
	DSM-IV, BMI≥30 kg/m;		Drop out		
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Duration	4 (17%)	ВМІ	Handling of missing
	Setting	12 weeks	, ,	I: 40.4±7, C: 40.5±5	data
	Single center, outpatients		Compliance	1. 40.417, 0. 40.313	ITT analysis
	recruited via advertisements Study period	Drop out 5/20 (25%)	82.7% (19)	Depression (HAM-D) I: 2.4±2.9, C: 4.8±5.1	Funding Forrest Laboratories
	January 2003–July 2003	Compliance 75% (15)		Adverse events No significant difference between the	
	Follow-up			groups. Most common were:	
	Post intervention			Dry mouth: I: 33%, C:27%	
				Diarrhoea: I: 24%, C:22%	
				· ·	
				Fatigue: I: 14%, C: 22%	
				Headache: I: 14%, C:17%	

Hilbert and	Population	CBT-E (CBT with body	CBT-C (CBT with	Binges per week past Month	Sampling method
Tuschen-	N=28 (36% of eligible, 21% of	exposure component)	cognitive restructuring	Post: I: 0:6±0:7, C: 1:0±1:9	NR .
Caffier	screened)	n=14	component focused	4 months: I: 1:2±2:0, C: 0:5±1:0	
2004	Full-BED: 71.4%	Mean age: 42.1±12.1	on body image)	,	Blinding
Germany	Mean age: 40	Duration of BED: 13.5±10.7	n=14	Binge episodes/28 days, n (%)	unclear if assessor
[26]	Mean BMI: 35.2	years	Mean age: 38.6±8.5	Post: I: 0, C: 0	was blinded
			Duration of BED:	4 months: I: 0, C: 2 (16.6%)	
	Inclusion criteria	2 hrs sessions, 4-5	17.7±13.2 years		Handling of missing
	DSM-IV criteria for BED except	members/group. Therapy		BED diagnosis, n (%)	data
	for frequency criterion (freq 1	based on CBT for BN with	2 hrs sessions, 4-5	Post: I: 2 (16.7%), C: 3 (25%)	No ITT analysis
	day/wk over last 6 months	emphasis on body image	members/groups	4 months: I: 3 (25%), C: 1 (8.3%)	_
	allowed), female	disturbance. Sessions			Funding
	·	conducted by clinical	Duration	Remission (abstinent for last 28	Deutshce
	Setting	psychologist. Nutritionist and	19 weekly session	days):	Forschungsgemeinsc
	Outpatient recruited via	physical therapist also	within 5 month and	Post: I: 4 (33.3%), C: 9 (75%)	haft DFG
	advertisements in newspaper:	provided services.	self-management	4 months: I: 6 (50%), C: 8 (66.7%)	
	Psychotherapeutic unit		phase of 3 sessions		
		Duration		BMI	
	Study period	19 weekly session within 5	Drop out	Post: I: 33.1±10.4, C: 37.2±10.3	
	NR	month and self-management phase of 3 sessions.	2 (14.3%)	4 months: I: 33.6±11, C: 36.4±11	
	Follow-up			Depression (BDI)	
	4 months	Drop out		Post: I: 12.8±8.8, C: 12.7±9.0	
		2 (14.3%)		4 months I:13.9±8.7, C: 12.3±6.9	
Hudson et al	Population	Fluvoxamine, 50 mg every	Placebo	Remission rate (ITT)	Sampling method
1998	N=85 (74% of eligible)	evening for 3 days. After day	n=43	I: 15 (38%), C: 11 (26)	Randomization
USA	Mean age: 42	4, dose could be adjusted,	Mean age:43 ±9.5		schedule
[27]	Mean BMI: 35	individual basis (50-300 mg)	years	Frequency of binges (difference	
-	90.5% females		88% Females	between groups, ±SE)	Blinding
		n=42	Hx of major	-0.181±0.066, P 0.006	Double
	Inclusion criteria	Mean age:41.2 ±9.9 years	depression:		
	Age 18–60, criteria for BED	93% Females	28%	Depression (Hamilton) (difference	Handling of missing
	DSM-IV, ≥3 BES/wk for ≥6	Hx of major depression:		between groups, ±SE)	data
	months. Number of calories	48%	Duration	-0.401±0.359, p 0.27	ITT analysis
	consumed ≥1500 kcal, wt >85%		9 weeks		
	of the midpoint of IDW for Height	Duration		BMI (difference between groups, ±SE)	Funding

	Setting Outpatient, 3 centers, recruitment unclear	9 weeks Drop out 6	Drop out 13	-0.167±0.083, P 0.04 Adverse events Insomnia: I: 44%, C: 14%, p<0.05	The Upjohn Co. and Solvay Pharmaceuticals
	Study period February–September 1993 Follow-up Post intervention			Nausea: I: 34%, C: 12%, p<0.01 Abnormal dreams: I: 20%, 5% p<0.01 Headache: I: 42%, C: 28% Asthenia: I: 32%, C:19%	
	Run in period One week lead-in period				
Kelly et al 2014 Canada	Population N=41 (69% of eligible) Mean age 45±15	Self-compassion focused therapy (CFT)+food planning n=15	Wait list control group n=13	Weekly binge episodes (±SE) CFT: 2.57±0.84, BS: 1.9±0.76, C: 4.23±0.78	Sampling method Unclear
[28]	83% females	11-13	Duration	4.25±0.76	Blinding
		Behavioural strategies	3 weeks	Weekly binge days (±SE)	Unclear
	Inclusion criteria	(BS)+food planning		CFT: 1.54±0.51, BS: 1.92±0.48, C:	
	Meeting DSM-5 criteria for BED, age ≥18 years, access to	n=13	Drop out	3.88±0.49	Handling of missing data
	internet	Both groups received	1	EDE-Q Global score(±SE)	ITT analysis
		psychoeducation based on		CFT: 2.08±0.11, BS: 2.45±0.11, C:	The analysis
	Setting	Fairburn's' CBT. Thereafter		2.51±0.12	
	Outpatients via advertisements	they received different		DAM (OF)	
	in hospitals and eating disorder community centres, online	strategies to cope with their problems		BMI (±SE) CFT: 32.67±1.12, BS: 33.08±1.11, C:	
	advertisements	problems		33±1.12	
		Duration			
	Study period	3 weeks		Depression (CESD) (±SE)	
	NR	Dron out		CFT: 16.64±1.8, BS: 19.36±1.75, C:	
	Follow-up	Drop out CFT:4		22.92±1.8	
	Post intervention	BS: 1			

Kristeller et al	Population	Mindfulness-based eating	Waiting list	BED diagnosis, ITT	Sampling method
2013	N=150 (93% of eligible, 70% of	awareness training (MB-EAT)	n=47	4 months: MB-EAT: 10/31 (32%),	Random number
USA	screened)	n=53		PECB: 419/35 (54%), C: 11/21 (520/31	
[29]	Mean age: 46.6	55	Duration	(64%)	Blinding
[=0]	88% females	Psycho-educational/cognitive		(6.75)	Unclear
	Full BED: 66%	behaviour (PECB), n=50	Drop out	Abstainers, (not ITT)	S. Tologi.
	1 dii 525. 0070	2011avious (1 202); 11=00	21 (44%)	1 month: MB-EAT:9/36 (25%),	Handling of missing
	Inclusion criteria	Both groups hade 12 session	2. (,0)	PECB:10/32 (31%), C: 0	data
	Full or subthreshold BED, DSM-	of group treatment: 9 weekly		4 months: MB-EAT: 11/35 (39%),	Mix
	IV criteria for BED	and 3 monthly. Sessions were		PECB: 10/24 (39%), C: 5/25 (20%)	IVIIX
	TV CITICATO BEB	1.5 hrs, except sessions 1 and		1 200. 10/24 (00/0), 0. 3/20 (20/0)	
	Setting	6 which were 2 hrs		Binge days/months, not ITT	
	Two sites, outpatients recruited	0 WINGIT WEIG 2 III3		1 months: MB-EAT:4.78±5.78, PECB:	
	via advertisements	Duration		5.23±7.95	
	via advertisements	9 weeks treatment and then 3		C:12.83±8.42	
	Study period	boosters (1 per month)		4 months: MB-EAT:3.78±5.15, PECB:	
	NR	boosters (1 per month)		4 HOHIIS. NB-EAT.3.76±3.13, PECB. 5.46±7.67	
	INK	Dram out		C:11.38±9.26	
	Follow-up	Drop out		C.11.30±9.20	
	1 and 4 months after the weekly	MB-EAT: 14 (26%)		DMI not ITT	
	session ended	PECB: 23 (46%)		BMI, not ITT 1 months: MB-EAT:39.54±8.53, PECB:	
	session ended	Camplianas		•	
		Compliance		38.95±8.79, C:38.07±6.29	
		MB-EAT		4 months: MB-EAT:40.05±9.21,	
		PECB		PECB:38.93±8.99	
				C:38.42±6.52	
				Depression (BDI) not ITT	
				1 months: MB-EAT:8.5±9.47, PECB:	
				9.48±10.22	
				0.40±10.22 C:17.21±11	
				4 months: MB-EAT:9.31±11.04,	
				PECB:10±10.37	
				C:14.12±10.79	
Laederach-	Population	Imipramine (25 mg	Placebo for 8 weeks		Sampling method
	N=31 (31% of eligible)	3 times/day) for 8 weeks	thereafter	Binge eating frequency Post: I: 2.8±3, C: 5.4±5.1	NR
Hoffman et al	Mean age: 38	3 titles/day) for 6 weeks	literealter	6 months: I: 4.1±2.1, C: 7.2±4.3	INIX
1999	Ivicali age. 30			0 HIOHIIIS. I. 4. IIZ. I, C. 1.ZI4.3	

Switzerland	Mean BMI:39.65	Thereafter:	diet counselling and		Blinding
[30]	87% females	Diet counselling 30 min	psych Support –	Weight change (kg)	Double
[]		individual diet counselling by	μος συμμοιι	Post I: -2.2±1.8, C: 0.2±3.3	
	Inclusion criteria	dietitian biweekly.	n=16	6 months:–1.9±6.3, C: 3±2.2	Handling of missing
	Age 20–60, DSM-IV criteria for	Psych Support -behavioural	Mean age: 35.7±10.3	,	data
	BED, obese defined as BMI	oriented:		Depression (HAMD)	No ITT analysis
	>27.5	1) individual 15-35 min	Duration	Post I: 9.8±7, C: 16±10.3, p<0.001	,
		sessions biweekly	8 weeks placebo	6 months: I: 12.6±5.8, C: 19.2±8.7	Funding
	Setting	2) group-therapy, 1.5 hours	6 months diet and		NR
	Medical charts of 500 patients	(N=10-14) monthly guided by	psych support	Adverse events:	
	were screened, 100 charts were	an assistant dietitian		2 patients	
	considered suitable, Counselling		Drop out		
	centre for weight problems	n=15	1 (6%)		
		Mean Age: 40.7±10.9 years			
	Study period				
	NR	Duration			
		8 weeks imipramine			
	Follow-up	6 months diet and psych			
	Post treatment and 6 months FU	support			
		Drop out			
		2 (13%)			
Leombruni et	Population	Sertraline	Fluoxetine	Abstinent	Sampling method
al	N=42 (24% of screened)	n=22	n=20	S: 12 (60%), F: 9 (52.9%)	Unclear
2008	Mean age: 39.6±8.5 (range 21-				
Italy	57)	25 mg/day for 3 days, then	10 mg for 3 days,	Binge/week	Blinding
[31]	Mean BMI: 39.3±3.5	increased in 25-mg increments	then increased with	S:1.1±3.3 (n16), F:0.9±1.1 (n15)	Double blind
	Duration of BED: 144 months	3 days to a maximum of 200	10-mg increments		
		mg/day, as tolerated (range	every 3 days to a	Binge Eating Score ES	Handling of missing
	Inclusion criteria	100–200;	maximum of	S: 15.9±8.2, F: 19.2±11.5	data
	Female, age 18–65 years, BMI		80 mg/day (range 40-		No ITT
	≥30, BED according to DSM-IV-	All received nutritional	80 mg).	ВМІ	
	TR, absence of medically	training+2 sessions of dietary		S: 36.6±4.3, F: 38.5±5	Funding
	unstable conditions, full-	counselling	All received nutritional	. (55)	NR
	syndrome Axis I disorders	Booking	training+2 sessions of	Depression (BDI)	
		Duration	dietary counselling	S:9.9±5.9, F:8.4±6.2	

	Setting Outpatients, recruited from 176 overweight patients from Eating Disorders Pilot Centre, Psychiatric Clinic, University of Turin Study period January 2003–January 2005 Follow-up	24 weeks Drop out 6 (27%) Compliance 16 (72.7%)	Duration 24 weeks Drop out 5 (25%) Compliance 15 (75%)	Side effects (n) S: 3 (15), F: 2 (11.8%)	
	post treatment (24 weeks)				
Masheb et al 2011 USA [32]	Population N=50 Mean age: 45.8±7.6 (range 29–60) Mean BMI: 39.1±6.6 76% females Inclusion criteria Aged 21–60, met DSM-IV-TR criteria for BED, BMI≥30 Setting Outpatients recruited via advertisements Study period NR Follow-up Post treatment (6 months) and 12 months (6 months after end of treatment)	CBT plus low-Energy-Density diet (CBT-ED) n=25 21 hourly individual sessions (40 minutes devoted to CBT and 20 minutes devoted to energy density): weekly for 1–16 sessions, biweekly thereafter Duration 6 months Compliance 20/25	CBT plus General Nutrition counselling (CBT-GN) n=25 21 hourly individual sessions (40 minutes devoted to CBT and 20 minutes devoted to general information about nutrition): weekly for 1–16 sessions, biweekly thereafter Duration 6 months Compliance 23/25	Remission (0 binges for 28 days prior end of treatment) self monitoring CBT-ED: 15/25, CBT-GN: 18/25 EDE interview CBT-ED: 13/25, CBT-GN: 11/25 Binge eating/Months (EDE), 12 months±SE CBT-ED: 0.7±0.3, CBT-GN: 0±0.2 EDE-Q total score, ±SE CBT-ED: 1.9±0.2, CBT-GN: 2.1±0.2 Mean change in BMI (ITT) 6 months: CBT-ED: 1.34±2.65, CBT-GN: 0.53±1.59 12 months: CBT-ED: 1.24±2.65, CBT-GN: 0.5±3 Depression (BDI) ±SE CBT-ED: 1.9±0.2, CBT-GN: 1.8±0.2	Sampling method Computer-generated randomization schedule concealed allocation Blinding Unclear if assessor was blinded Handling of missing data ITT analysis

Masson et al 2013 USA [33]	Population N=60 mean age: 42.8 88.3% females Inclusion criteria Meet BED criteria or BED criteria with binge eating occurring ≥1week for six months, ≥18 years of age, High school graduate or equivalent, BMI>17.5 Setting Outpatients recruited via advertisements Study period February 2011–March 2012 Follow-up	Guided self-help based on dialectical behaviour therapy (DBTgsh) n=30 DBTgsh: received an orientation, DBT manual, and six 20-min support calls over 13 weeks Duration 13 weeks Drop out 3/30 Compliance 21/30	Waiting list n=30 Duration 13 weeks Drop out 3/30 Compliance 27/30	Objective binge frequencies, last 28 days Post: I: 5.97 (9.42), C: 14.37 (11.86) Abstinence, last 28 days Post: I: 40%, C: 3.3% EDE-Q Total score, LS Post: I: 137.30±23.51, C: 117.17±17.70	Sampling method Urn randomization program, stratified based on age and gender Blinding Assessor was blinded Handling of missing data ITT analysis
McElroy 2015 USA, Sweden, Spain, Germany [34]	Post treatment and 6 months (no control group) Population N=773 (58% of screened), (study 1: 383, study 2: 390) Mean age: 38 Mean BMI: 33.5 86% females Inclusion criteria Age 18–55, moderate to severe BED according to DSM-IV-TR, CGI≥4, BMI ≥18 — ≤45 Setting	Lisdexamfetammine dimesylate (LDX) Dose optimization for 4 weeks (start dose 30 mg), dose maintenance for 8 weeks (70 mg, could be titrated down to 50 mg if 70 mg was not tolerated) N Study 1:192 Study 2:195	Placebo N Study 1:191 Study 2:195 Duration 12 weeks Drop out Study 1: 29/191 (15%) Study 2: 42/192 (21%)	Binge days/week, change from BL, (LS mean±SEM) Study 1: I: -3.87±0.124, C:- 2.51±0.124, p <0.001 Study 2: I: -3.92±0.135, C: - 2.26±0.137, p0.001 Remisson/cessation Study 1: I: 76/190 (40%), C: 26/184 (14.1%) Study 2: I: 63/174 (36.2%), C: 23/176 (13.1%)	Sampling method 1:1 randomization Blinding Double blinded Handling of missing data ITT analysis

	2 multicentrer, outpatients, via investigator's databases and local advertisements Study period November 2012–25 September 2013 Follow-up Post treatment Run in period 2–4 weeks	Duration 12 weeks Drop out Study 1: 20/192(10%) Study 2: 32/195 (16%) Compliance Study 1: 82% Study 2: 75%	Compliance Study 1: 82% Study 2: 75%	Weight, % change from baseline, (LS mean±SEM) Study 1: I:-6.25±0.292, C. 0.11±0.295 Study 2: I: -5.57±0.35, C: -1.15±0.353 Adverse events serious Study 1: I: 3/192, C: 2/187 Study 2: I: 1/181, C: 2/185	
McElroy 2015 USA [35]	Population N=60 (43% of screened) Mean age: 41.3±12 Mean BMI: 40.1±8 Obesity: 92% 85% females Mean weekly BE: 4.4±1.2 Inclusion criteria Age 18–65 years, met DSM-IV- TR criteria for BED, 3 BED/week for the last 2 weeks, BMI≥25 Setting Recruited via radio and advertisements Study period NR Follow-up post treatment	Armodafinil flexible dose Start dose 150mg/day, after 4 weeks if not stooped BE increased to 250 mg/day n=30 Duration 10 weeks+1 weeks discontinuation Drop out 8/30 (27%) Compliance 53% (16/30)	Placebo n=30 Duration 10 weeks Drop out 3/30/10%) Compliance 50%	Binge days/week, change from BL, I: -3.1±2.1, C: -2.4±1.6 Depression, IDS, change from BL I: -5.5±6.9, C: -5.5±7.9 BMI, change from BL I: -0.6±0.8, C: 0.1±1.2 Adverse events Headache: I: 15/30, C: 10/30 Insomnia: I: 13/30, C: 9/30 Nausea: 7/30, C: 4/30 Felling jittery: I: 9/30, C: 0 Dry mouth: 7/30, C:1/30 Anxiety: 3/30, C: 2/30 Fatigue: I: 1/30, C: 4/30 Diarrhea: I: 2/30, C: 3/30 Attentions disturbance: I: 2/30, C: 2/30 Flashes: I: 3/30, C: 1/30 Vivid dreams: I: 2/30, C: 1/30 Dizziness: I: 1/30, C: 2/30 Somnolence: I: 2/30, C:1/30	Sampling method 1:1 computer generated coding, permuted blocks, concealed allocation Blinding Double blinded Handling of missing data ITT analysis

McElroy et al 2007 USA [37]	Population N=394 Mean age: 44.5 Mean BMI: 38.5 84.2% females Inclusion criteria Age 18–65 years, met DSM-IV criteria for BED, ≥3 BE days/week, BMI 30–50 Setting Single center, outpatients from private practice and university, recruited via advertisements and radio Study period October 2003–February 2005 Follow-up Post intervention	Topiramate n=195 Flexible dose; 25 mg/day, titrated weekly over an 8-week period to 400 mg/day or the max tolerated dose. Duration 16 weeks Drop out 55 (27%) Completers 140	Placebo n=199 Duration 16 weeks Drop out 59 (29%) Completers 140	Adverse events Any: Placebo: 58%, 30 mg/day: 86.4% 50mg/day:86.2%, 70mg/day:81.5% Dry mouth: Placebo: 7.9%, 30 mg/day: 33.3%,50mg/day:33.8%, 70mg/day: 41.5% Decreased appetite: Placebo: 6.3%, 30 mg/day: 25.8%, 50mg/day:20%, 70mg/day:18.5% Insomnia: Placebo: 1.6%, 30 mg/day: 10.6%, 50mg/day: 15.4%, 70mg/day: 13.8% Remission I: 113 (58%), C: 57 (29%) Binge eating days/week. Mean change: I: -3.5±1.9, C: -2.5±2.1, p<0.001 I: 0.9, C: 2.2 Depression (MADRS) Mean change: I: -0±7, C: -0.7±6.2 BMI, -0.712 p<0.01 Adverse events (p<0.001) Parasthesia: I: 55.9%, C: 12.4% Taste perversion: I: 13.9%, C: 1, Concentration problems: I: 12.9%, C: 2.5%	Sampling method 1:1 ratio, permuted block, computer generated Blinding Double blind Handling of missing data ITT analysis Funding Ortho-McNeil Neurolocs, AstraZeneca
--------------------------------------	--	--	--	--	--

McElroy, Hudson et Al 2003 USA [38]	Population N=38 (76% of screened) Lifetime major depressive disorder: 86.5% Current major depressive disorder: 31% 95% Females Inclusion criteria Aged 18–60, DSM-IV criteria for BED, ≥3 binge episodes weekly for ≥6 months: weght >85% of IBW Setting Outpatients recruited via advertisement, single center Study period August 2000–July 2001 Follow-up Post treatment Run in period 1 week of single-blind placebo	Citalopram 20 mg/day for first 7 days: increased as tolerated to 40 mg/day for 7 days, and then 60 mg/day for remainder of study. n=19 Mean age: 42.0±9.0 Duration 6 weeks Drop out 3 (16%) Completers 16/19	Placebo n=19 Mean age:39.2±12.0 95% females Duration 6 weeks Drop out 4 (22%) Completers 15/19	Binges/week I: 1.7±3.1, C: 3.4±3.0 Binge days/week I: 1.2±2.0, C: 2.8±2.2 Remission I: 9/19 (47%), C: 2/19 (21%) Depression (HAM-D) I: 1.4±2.3, C: 1.9±3.1 BMI I: 40.9±7.0, C: 35.7±7.5 Adverse events: Sweating (P=0.008), fatigue (P=0.046), dry mouth, headache, diarrhea, nausea, sedation, insomnia, sexual	Sampling method Unclear Blinding Double Handling of missing data ITT analysis Funding In part by Forest Laboratories
McElroy et al 2000 USA [39]	Population N=34 Mean age: 42 Mean BMI: 36.1 94.5% females Inclusion criteria Aged 18–60 years, DSM-IV criteria for BED, ≥3 BE/week for ≥6 months, BE defined by DSM-	Sertraline 50mg/day for ≥3 days: adjusted as tolerated to between 1 to 4 capsules daily. Mean end of study dose 187±30 mg n=18 89% females	Placebo n=16 100% females Duration 6 weeks Drop out 3 (19%)	Binge/week Post: I: 1.13±1.56, C:3.85±3.81, P=.008 Frequency of binges Remission/cessation of binges: I: 7, C: 2 BMI Diff between groups in change	Sampling method Unclear Blinding Double Handling of missing data ITT analysis

	IV criteria plus required size at least 1500 kcal weight >85% of IBW Setting Outpatient: single center,	Duration 6 weeks Drop out 5 (28%)	Compliance 13	over time (SE): -0.596 (0.189), P=0.002 in favour of I Depression (HAMD) Diff between groups over time (SE): 1.33 (1.00)	Funding In part by Pfizer, Inc
	recruitment unclear Study period NR	Compliance 13		Advert Events: Participants experiencing insomnia: I: 7 (39%), C: 1 (6%), P=0.04	
	Follow-up Post treatment Run in period 1 week of single-blind placebo				
Munsch et al 2007 [40] Munsch et al 2012	Population N=80 (80% of eligible, 21 of screened) Mean age: 46.1 Mean BMI: 34	CBT n=44 For both CBT and BWLT: ≤7 members/group, led by	Behavioural Weight Loss Treatment (BWL) n=36	Objective binge days/28 days Post: CBT: 6.20±8.66, BWL: 7.54±9.38 12 months CBT: 4.8±4 8, BWL: 5.77±9.15	Sampling method Permuted block design Blinding
Switzerland [41]	89% females Inclusion criteria Aged 18–70, BMI 27–40, meet full DSM-IV-TR1 criteria for BED Setting	therapists, active treatment phase: 16 weekly 90-min group sessions. Follow-up treatment: 6 monthly 90-min group sessions. The last session took place 12 months after the end of active	Duration 16 weeks Drop out 16 weeks: 9 (25.0%) FU 12 months: 13 (36%)	BED diagnosis, % Post: CBT:50%, BWL: 78% 12 months CBT:43%, BWL:53% 6 years: CBT: 3.8%(1/26),11.5% (3/2) Remission Post: CBT:41%, BWL: 58%	Assessors blinded Handling of missing data ITT analysis
	Outpatients recruited via newspaper advertisements Study period NR	treatment. Duration Active: 16 weeks Drop out	Compliance 16 weeks: 67.1% of all sessions FU 12 months: 55%	12 months CBT:52%, BWL:50% No of weekly binges (self-reported) Post: CBT: 0.14±0.45, BWL: 1.15±1.89 12 months CBT: 0.52±1.59, BWL: 1.5±2.14	
	Follow-up 6 and 12 months and 6 years	16 weeks: 13 (29.3%) FU 12 months: 15 (34%)	1 2 12 1131111131 3070	BMI	

		6 years: 23 (52%) Compliance 16 weeks: 67.3% of all sessions FU 12 month: 45%		Post: CBT: 33.58±4.53, BWL: 32.±29 4 12 months CBT: 33.1±5.04, BWL: 33.18±4.17 6 years: CBT: 31.5±5.2, BWL: 33.5±3.8 Depression (BDI) Post: CBT: 9.16±7.8, BWL: 9.19±6.54 12 months CBT: 8.23±11.31, BWL: 7.76±6.48	
Pearlstein et al 2003 USA [42]	Population N=20 (80% of screened) Mean age: 41.0 Mean BMI: 41.16 85% females Inclusion criteria DSM IV research criteria for BED based on EDE Setting Outpatient recruited via advertisements and referral from health professional, single center Study period NR Follow-up Post treatment Run in period 1 week of single-blind placebo	Fluvoxamine, flexible dose, titrated up to 150 mg b.i.d. Average dose 239 mg/day n=9 Duration 12 weeks Drop out 0 Compliance 100%	Placebo Average dose 264 mg/day n=11 Duration 12 weeks Drop out 0 Compliance 100%	Remission I: 50%, C: 50% Binge days/past 28 days I: 3.11±4.20, C: 7.31±9.31 Depression (HAM-D) I: 9.38±9.71, C: 7.38±9.71 Weight, Ibs I: 242±82, C: 262±99 Adverse events, N: In study completers: Sedation: I: 8, C: 3 Nausea: I: 4, C: 1 Dry mouth: I: 4, C: 3 Decreased libido: I: 3, C: 0	Sampling method Unclear Blinding Double Handling of missing data Unclear Funding Solvay Pharmaceuticals
Peterson et al 2009 USA	Population N=129 Mean age: 48	Therapist-led (TL) n=60	Waiting list n=69	Abstinence/remission rate Post: C: 10%, TL:52%	Sampling method By independent bio- statistician, adaptive

[43]	Mean BMI: 38.7 90% females Antidepressant medication: 78.8% Inclusion criteria DSM-IV full criteria for BED, BMI≥25 Setting Recruited from two Midwestern clinical sites using advertisements and referrals from local eating disorder treatment clinics and other health professionals Study period NR Follow-up Post treatment (6 and 12months only for intervention group)	15 group sessions of 80-minute duration over a 20-week time period, weekly sessions for the first 10 weeks and then bi-weekly group size: 2–11 Duration 20 week Drop out TL: 7/60 (12%)	Duration 20 weeks thereafter started treatment Drop out 13/69 (19%)	6 months: TL: 43%, 12 months: TL: 21% OBE days/28 days Post: C: 13.5±9.3, TL: 4.4±7.3 6 months: TL: 7.4±9.3, 12 months: TL: 10.6±9.3 OBE episodes Post: C: 17.6±14.6, TL: 6.3±12.3 6 months: TL: 10.6±14.8, 12 months: TL: 16.2±19.4 EDE-Q Global score Post: TL:2.1±0.9, C: 2.3±0.9 6 months: TL: 2.1±0.9 12 months: TL: 2.4±1 BMI Post: C: 38.3±7.4, TL: 40.8±11.7 6 months: TL: 39.8±10.0 12 months: TL: 38.3±8.5 Depression (IDS-SR 22) Post: C: 23.3±10.7, TL: 19.8±11.3 6 months: TL: 20.8±12	randomization strategy, concealed Blinding Assessors blinded Handling of missing data Unclear Other comments Only included the group therapist led and waitlist since the other groups had to high drop out to be included. More younger that did not complete trial
Rica et al 2010 Italy [44]	Population N=144 (91% of eligible, 82% of screened) Mean age: 47 88.2% females Sub-BED: 43.8% Inclusion criteria	Individual CBT (I-CBT) n=72 22 individual sessions of 50 min each, group size:12 Duration 24 weeks	Group CBT (G-CBT) n=72 20 group sessions of 60 min each, group size:12 Duration 22 weeks	Remission rate Post: I-CBT: 33.3%, G-CBT:16.7% 3 years: I-CBT: 36%, G-CBT: 28% Diagnostic change from BED to s-BED I-CBT:18.1%, G-CBT: 33.3%	Sampling method Table of random no, permuted blocks Blinding The assessors were blinded

Age 18–60 years, meet DSM-IV criteria for BED or subthreshold BED	Drop out 4/72 (BED patients)	Drop out 6/72	Binge episodes/months, median (quartiles) Post: I-CBT: 4.0 (0;7.5),G-CBT: 4.0	Handling of missing data ITT analysis
Setting Outpatients, Clinic for Eating Disorders, recruited via referrals by family doctors and other clinicians Study period January 2000–June 2003 Follow-up Post treatment and 3 years			(2.0;8.0) 3 years: I-CBT: 4.0 (0;6), G-CBT: 4.0 (0;8) BMI, mean Post: I-CBT: 36.5 (32.1;42.3) G-CBT: 37.4 (32;40.1) 3 years: I-CBT: 36.0 (3;42.7), G-CBT: 37 (31.9;41.8) Depression (BDI) median (quartiles) Post: I-CBT: 17 (12;23), G-CBT: 15, (9;22.7) 3 years: I-CBT: 17 (11.7;1.5), G-CBT: 14.0 (7;22) EDE-Q Total, median (quartiles) Post: I-CBT: 2.1 (0.5;3.3), G-CBT: 2.9 (2.3;3.5) 3 years: I-CBT: 1.3 (0.5;3.1), G-CBT: 2.7 (2.1;3.4) QoL (SCL-90) median (quartiles) Post: I-CBT: 1.2 (0.7;1.7) G-CBT: 1.1 (0.7;1.3) 3 years: I-CBT: 1.2 (0.6;1.7) G-CBT: 1.1 (0.7;1.3) BES, median (quartiles) Post: I-CBT: 16 (3.5;30), G-CBT: 17.0 (12;27.7) 3 years: I-CBT: 17.5 (12.0;31), G-CBT: 17 (11;25)	

Schlup et al 2009 [45] Fischer et al 2014 Switzerland [46]	Population N=36 (78% of eligible, 27% of screened) Mean age: 44.3±10.3 Mean BMI: 33.4±7.6 Inclusion criteria Age 18–70 years, met DSM-IV-TR full diagnostic criteria for BED Setting Recruited via newspaper advertisements and flyers, University of Basel Study period December 2004–June 2007 Follow-up Post treatment, 4 years FU for	Short version of CBT+booster sessions (sh-CBT) n=18 8 weekly 90-min sessions Duration 8 weeks Drop out 1/18 Compliance Unclear	Waiting list n=18 Duration 8 weeks Drop out 0 Compliance Unclear	Abstainer rates, % Sh-CBT: 39%, C: 0% Objective binge episodes (difference from BL) Sh-CBT: -5.47, C: -0.43 Subjective binge episodes, (difference from BL) Sh-CBT-0.65, C: -0.17 No of weekly binges (difference from BL) Sh-CBT: -1.58, C: 0.35 BMI (difference from BL) Sh-CBT: 0.01, C: 0.42 Depression (BDI) (difference from BL) Sh-CBT: -1.86, C: 0.96	Sampling method Permuted block design Blinding Unclear Handling of missing data Linear mixed model
Shapiro et al 2007 USA [47]	intervention group Population N=66 (86% of eligible, 56% of screened) Mean age: 39.6±11.4 Mean BMI: 37.72±9.45 92% females Full BED: 70% Inclusion criteria Age 18–60, DSM-IV BED-based, subthreshold BED: ≥two objective binge eating episodes/month, BMI≥27,	CBT n=22 CBT: group treatment for weekly 90-minute sessions, 5– 10 participants/group CD-ROM n=22 Interactive programme incorporates vivid illustrations, photography, interactive exercises and video clips Duration	Waiting list n=22 Duration 10 weeks Drop out 2/22 (9%)	Abstinence, N (%) Post: CD-ROM: 2 (13.3%), CBT: 1 (7.7%), WL: 0 2 months: CD-ROM: 1 (12.5%), CBT: 2 (22.2%), WL: 0 BES Post: CBT: 20.92±4.31, CD-ROM: 23.4±5.83, WL: 23.6±6.14 Binge days/week Post: CBT: 2.08±0.67, CD-ROM: 2.58±1.56, WL: 2.5±1.2	Sampling method Block design Blinding Unclear Handling of missing data ITT analysis

	regular access to an IBM-compatible computer Setting Outpatients recruited via newspaper advertisements Study period NR Follow-up Post treatment and 2 months for	10 weeks Drop out 10 weeks: CBT: 9 (41%) CD-ROM: 7 (32%) 2 months: CBT: 13 (59%), CD-ROM: 14 (63%)		BMI POST, CBT: 37.03±10.49, CD-ROM: 38.63±9, WL: 34.39±6.35	
ter Huurne et al 2015 Netherlands [48]	CBT and CD-ROM Population N=85 Mean age: 40.2±11.4 BMI >25: 100% Duration of BED> 11 years: 72% Inclusion criteria Females, age ≥18 years, BED	Web based CBT n=43 Structured 2 part program, >21 contacts moments and 10 assignments Duration	Waiting list n=42 Duration 15 weeks Drop out 2 (5%)	EDE-Q Total I: 2.6±1.3, C: 3.2±0.9 BMI I: 34.7±6.5, C: 34.2±5.4 QoL, (EQ-5D VAS) I: 67.9±16, C: 62.7±15.5	Sampling method Computer generated block size (2, 4 or 8), stratification 1:1, concealed allocation Blinding Unclear
	according to DSM-IV Setting Outpatients, announcements and advertisements Study period March 2011–December 2013 Follow-up Post treatment	15 weeks Drop out 4 (9%) Compliance 70% (30/43)	Compliance 100%	Depression (DASS) I: 8.7±7.5, C: 12±8.3	Handling of missing data ITT Other comments We only included data from the BED and not BN and EDNOS population
White et al 2013 USA	Population N=61(66% of screened) Mean age: 44±12.5 Mean BMI 35.8±6.8	Bupropion, 300mg/day n=31 Duration	Placebo n=30 Duration	Remission (no BE/month) I: 13 (42%), C: 8 (27%) EDE restrain	Sampling method Stratification by obesity grade And smoking

[49]		8 weeks	8 weeks	I: 1.4±1, C: 1.6±0.8	
ŀ	Inclusion criteria				Blinding
	Women, aged 18-65 years, met	Drop out	Drop out	EDE-Q Global score	Double blind
	DSM-IV TR criteria for BED,	4 (13%)	3 (10%)	I: 1.8±0.9, C: 2±0.9	
	BMI≥25				Handling of missing
		Compliance	Compliance	OBE, monthly	data
	Setting Outpatients recruited via	87%	90%	I: 5±9.4, C: 6.3±8	ITT analysis, last data carried forward
	advertisements			OBE/week	
				I: 0.8±1.2, C: 1±1.5	
	Study period			,	
	November 2006–December			ВМІ	
	2010			I: 35.7±6.6, C: 35.2±7.4	
	Follow-up			Depression (BDI)	
	Post intervention			I:8±8.3, C: 8.7±7.2	
				Adverse events	
				No medical events were reported	
Wilfley et al	Population	Interpersonal psychotherapy	CBT	Binge days/28 days	Sampling method
2002	N=162 (83% of eligible, 17% of	(IPT)	n=81	Post: CBT: 0.6±1.6, IPT: 0.9±2	NR
[50]	screened)	n=81		4 months: CBT: 2.0±4.6, IPT: 1.5±3.9	
Hilbert et al	Mean age: 45.3		CBT: 3 phases	8 months: CBT: 2.1 (5.0), IPT: 1.9 (4.5)	Blinding
2012	Mean BMI: 37.4±5.2	Both groups	focusing on	12 months: CBT: 1.7±4.3, IPT: 1.2±2.6	NA for participants
USA	82.7% females	9 participants/group	behavioural		Assessors blinded,
[51]		20 90-minutes weekly group	strategies, cognitive	Remission/Abstinence from binge-	
	Inclusion criteria	sessions+3 individual	skills and relapse	eating	Handling of missing
	Age 18–65, DSM-IV criteria for	sessions. Manual-based and	prevention	Post: CBT: 64 (79%), IPT: 59 (72%)	data
	BED: ≥2 days of BE/wk, ≥6	led by two therapists		12 months: CBT: 48 (59%), IPT: 50	ITT analysis
	months, marked distress		Duration	(62%)	
	regarding BE, ≥3 of 5 associated behavioural features, no regular	IPT: focused on problem resolution within 4 social	23 weeks	4 years (N=90): CBT: 13/25, IPT: 23/30	Funding NIMH
	use of inappropriate	domains: Grief, interpersonal	Drop out	Depression (SCL)	
	compensatory behaviour, BMI	role disputes, role transitions,	Post: 4 (5%)	Post: CBT:34.8±7.9, IPT: 33.6±8.6	
	27–48	interpersonal deficits	4 months: 6 (7.4%) 8 mo: 10 (12%)	4 months:CBT:34.2±8.3, IPT: 34.6±10.6	

	Setting Recruited via advertisements, two sites, outpatient, Eating disorder clinics at Yale U and San Diego State Study period NR Follow-up 4, 8 and 12 months 4 years (but only on 90 of 162 patients)	Duration 23 weeks Drop out Post: 1 (1.2%) 4 months: 5 (6%) 8 months: 6 (7.4%) 12 months 10 (12%) 4 years: 12 (27%) Completers Post treatment: 80 12 months: 68 (84%)	12 mon: 14 (17%) 4 years: 20 (44%) Completers Post treatment: 78 12 months: 68 (84%)	8 months: CBT: 33.3±8.6, IPT: 34.4±10.7 12 months: CBT: 33.1±8.2, IPT: 32.2±10.3 BMI Post: CBT: 37.5±5.3, IPT: 37.2±5.2 4 months: CBT: 37.4±5.3, IPT: 36.6±5.3 8 months: CBT 37.5±5.1, IPT:36.4±5.5 12 months: CBT 37.2±5.1, IPT: 36.3±5.4 Depression (SCL) Post: CBT: 24.8±7.9, IPT: 33.6±8.6 4 months: CBT: 34.3±8.3, IPT: 34.6±10.6 8 months: CBT: 33.3±8.6, IPT: 34.4±10.7 12 months: CBT: 33.1±8.2, IPT: 32.2±10.3	
Wilson et al 2010 [52] Hilbert et al 2015 USA [53]	Population N=205 (9% of screened) Mean age: 48 Mean BMI: 36.4 56% females Inclusion criteria Age ≥18 years, met DSM-IV criteria for BED, BMI 27–45 Setting Recruited via advertisement and clinical referrals, University outpatient clinics Study period	Interpersonal psychotherapy (IPT) n=75 Behavioural weight loss treatment (BWL) n=64 Twenty sessions of IPT or BWL or 10 sessions Duration 6 months Drop out Post: IPT: 5/75, BWL: 18/64	Guided self-help CBT (gsCBT) n=66 Duration 6 months Drop out Post: 20/66	Remission, % Post: IPT: 65%, gsCBT: 60%, BWL: 56% 1 year: IPT:57%, gsCBT: 62%, BWL: 44% 2 years: IPT:68%, gsCBT: 64%, BWL: 47% No of binge days/28 days Post IPT: 3.7±7.2, BWL: 4.3±7.9, gsCBT: 3.8±7.2 1 years: IPT: 4.8±7.6, BWL: 6.5±8.7, gsCBT: 4.3±7.8 2 years: IPT: 4.3,±7.8, BWL: 5.8±8.5, gsCBT: 3.7±7.3	Sampling method Computer generated sequence, stratification Blinding Blinded assessors Handling of missing data ITT analysis

Follow-up Post treatment, 1 and 2 years after end of treatment	BMI Post: IPT: 35.9±5.3, BWL: 35.4±5.7, gsCBT: 36.1±4.4 1 years: IPT: 35.9±5.4, BWL: 36±6.2, gsCBT: 35.7±5 2 years: IPT: 36.1±5.5, BWL: 36.3±6.2, gsCBT: 35.7±4.9
	EDE-Q Global score Post: IPT: 1.8±0.9, BWL: 2.1±1.0, gsCBT: 1.7±1.0 1 years: IPT: 1.9±1.0, BWL: 2.2±1, gsCBT: 1.7±0.9 2 years: IPT: 1.7±1.1, BWL: 2±1.2, gsCBT: 1.7±1
	Rapid responder (reduction in BE ≥70 by 4 th week of treatment) BWL: 47/64, CBT: 49/66, IPT: 49/75

BA= Behavioural activation; BDI=Becks Depression Inventory; BDI-II=Becks Depression Inventory II; BED=binge eating disorder; BES=binge eating scale; BMI=body mass index; BST= Brief Strategic Therapy; BWL=behavioural weight loss; CBT= Cognitive behavioural therapy; CBT-C= CBT with cognitive restructuring component focused on body image: CBT-E= CBT with body exposure component; CBT-ED= CBT plus low-Energy-Density diet; CBT-WL= CBT+weight loss therapy; CBT-WL-D= CBT+weight loss, therapy+desipramine; CESD=Center for Epidemiological Studies for Depression; CFT= Self-compassion focused therapy; CGI= Clinical Global Impressions; DASS=Depression Anxiety Stress Scales; DSM= The Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders edition; EDE= Eating Disorder Examination; EDE-Q= Eating Disorder Examination-Questionnaire; EMM=estimated marginal of means; G-CBT=group-CBT; GSH= Guided self-help; gsCBT=guided self-help; HAD=Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale; HAM-D=Hamilton rating scale for depression; I-CBT= Individual-CBT; IDS-C= The Inventory of Depressive Symptomatology, Clinician; IDW= ideal body weight; IPT= Interpersonal psychotherapy; ITT=intention To Treat; LDX=lisdexamfetamine; MADRS= Montgomery Åsberg Depression Rating Scale; MB-EAT= Mindfulness-Based Eating Awareness Training; NHP= Nottingham Health Profile; PECB= Psycho-educational/cognitive behaviour; LSM=Least squares mean; NR=not reported; OBE=objective binge eating; PSH= Pure self-help; QIDS-SR= Quick Inventory of Depressive Symptomatology-self-report; QoL=Quality of Life; SD=standard deviation; shCBT=self-help- CBT; TTD=Three times daily; WL= weight loss therapy

Be rap



References:

- 1. Agras WS, Telch CF, Arnow B, Eldredge K, Wilfley DE, Raeburn SD, et al. Weight loss, cognitive-behavioral, and desipramine treatments in binge eating disorder: An additive design. Beh Therapy 1994;25:225-238
- 2. Alfonsson S, Parling T, Ghaderi A. Group behavioral activation for patients with severe obesity and binge eating disorder: a randomized controlled trial. Behav Modif 2015;39:270-94.
- 3. Brownley KA, Von Holle A, Hamer RM, La Via M, Bulik CM. A double-blind, randomized pilot trial of chromium picolinate for binge eating disorder: results of the Binge Eating and Chromium (BEACh) study. J Psychosom Res 2013;75:36-42.
- 4. Carrard I, Crépin C, Rouget P, Lam T, Golay A, Linden M. Randomised controlled trial of a guided self-help treatment on the Internet for binge eating disorder. Beh ResTherapy 2011;49:482-91.
- 5. Carter JC, Fairburn CG. Cognitivebehavioral self-help for binge eating disorder: a controlled effectiveness study. J Consult Clin Psychol 1998;66:616-23.
- 6. Cassin SE, von Ranson KM, Heng K, Brar J, Wojtowicz AE. Adapted motivational interviewing for women with binge eating disorder: a randomized controlled trial. Psychol Addict Behav 2008;22:417-25.
- 7. Castelnuovo G, Manzoni GM, Villa V, Cesa GL, Molinari E. Brief Strategic Therapy vs Cognitive Behavioral Therapy for the Inpatient and Telephone-Based Outpatient Treatment of Binge Eating Disorder: The STRATOB Randomized Controlled Clinical Trial. Clin Pract Epidemiol Ment Health 2011;7:29-37.
- 8. Claudino AM, de Oliveira IR, Appolinario JC, Cordas TA, Duchesne M, Sichieri R, et al. Double-blind, randomized, placebocontrolled trial of topiramate plus

- cognitive-behavior therapy in binge-eating disorder. J Clin Psychiatry 2007;68:1324-32
- 9. Corwin RL, Boan J, Peters KF, Ulbrecht JS. Baclofen reduces binge eating in a double-blind, placebo-controlled, crossover study. Behav Pharmacol 2012;23:616-25.
- 10. Dingemans AE, Spinhoven P, van Furth EF. Predictors and mediators of treatment outcome in patients with binge eating disorder. Behav Res Ther 2007;45:2551-62.
- 11. Golay A, Laurent-Jaccard A, Habicht F, Gachoud JP, Chabloz M, Kammer A, et al. Effect of orlistat in obese patients with binge eating disorder. Obes Res 2005;13:1701-8.
- 12. Grilo CM, Masheb RM, White MA, Gueorguieva R, Barnes RD, Walsh BT, et al. Treatment of binge eating disorder in racially and ethnically diverse obese patients in primary care: randomized placebo-controlled clinical trial of self-help and medication. Behav Res Ther 2014;58:1-9
- 13. Grilo CM, White MA, Gueorguieva R, Barnes RD, Masheb RM. Self-help for binge eating disorder in primary care: a randomized controlled trial with ethnically and racially diverse obese patients. Behav Res Ther 2013;51:855-61.
- 14. Grilo CM, White MA. Orlistat with behavioral weight loss for obesity with versus without binge eating disorder: randomized placebo-controlled trial at a community mental health center serving educationally and economically disadvantaged Latino/as. Behav Res Ther 2013;51:167-75.
- 15. Grilo CM, Masheb RM, Wilson GT, Gueorguieva R, White MA. Cognitive-behavioral therapy, behavioral weight loss, and sequential treatment for obese patients with binge-eating disorder: a

randomized controlled trial. J Consult Clin Psychol 2011;79:675-85.

16. Grilo CM, Masheb RM. A randomized controlled comparison of guided self-help cognitive behavioral therapy and behavioral weight loss for binge eating disorder. Behav Res Ther 2005;43:1509-25. 17. Masheb RM, Grilo CM. Rapid response predicts treatment outcomes in binge eating disorder: implications for stepped care. J Consult Clin Psychol 2007;75:639-44.

18. Masheb RM, Grilo CM. Prognostic significance of two sub-categorization methods for the treatment of binge eating disorder: negative affect and overvaluation predict, but do not moderate, specific outcomes. Behav Res Ther 2008;46:428-37. 19. Grilo CM, Masheb RM, Salant SL. Cognitive behavioral therapy guided self-help and orlistat for the treatment of binge eating disorder: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. Biol Psychiatry 2005;57:1193-201. 20. Grilo CM, Masheb RM, Wilson GT. Efficacy of cognitive behavioral therapy

Psychiatry 2005;57:301-9. 21. Grilo CM, Masheb RM, Wilson GT. Rapid response to treatment for binge eating disorder. J Consult Clin Psychol 2006;74:602-13.

placebo-controlled comparison. Biol

and fluoxetine for the treatment of binge

eating disorder: a randomized double-blind

22. Grilo CM, Crosby RD, Wilson GT, Masheb RM. 12-month follow-up of fluoxetine and cognitive behavioral therapy for binge eating disorder. J Consult Clin Psychol 2012;80:1108-13.

23. Guerdjikova AI, McElroy SL, Winstanley EL, Nelson EB, Mori N, McCoy J, et al. Duloxetine in the treatment of binge eating disorder with depressive disorders: a

placebo-controlled trial. Int J Eat Disord 2012;45:281-9.

24. Guerdjikova AI, McElroy SL, Welge JA, Nelson E, Keck PE, Hudson JI. Lamotrigine in the treatment of binge-eating disorder with obesity: a randomized, placebocontrolled monotherapy trial. Int Clin Psychopharmacol 2009;24:150-8. 25. Guerdjikova AI, McElroy SL, Kotwal R, Welge JA, Nelson E, Lake K, et al. High-dose escitalopram in the treatment of bingeeating disorder with obesity: a placebocontrolled monotherapy trial. Hum Psychopharmacol 2008;23:1-11. 26. Hilbert A, Tuschen-Caffier B. Body image interventions in cognitivebehavioural therapy of binge-eating disorder: a component analysis. Behav Res Ther 2004;42:1325-39.

27. Hudson JI, McElroy SL, Raymond NC, Crow S, Keck PE, Jr., Carter WP, et al. Fluvoxamine in the treatment of bingeeating disorder: a multicenter placebocontrolled, double-blind trial. Am J Psychiatry 1998;155:1756-62.

28. Kelly AC, Carter JC. Self-compassion training for binge eating disorder: A pilot randomized controlled trial. Psychol Psychother 2014;0.

29. Kristeller J, Wolever RQ, Sheets V. Mindfulness-Based Eating Awareness Training (MB-EAT) for binge eating: A randomized clinical trial. Mindfulness 2014;5:282-297.

30. Laederach-Hofmann K, Graf C, Horber F, Lippuner K, Lederer S, Michel R, et al. Imipramine and diet counseling with psychological support in the treatment of obese binge eaters: a randomized, placebo-controlled double-blind study. Int J Eat Dis 1999;26:231-44.

31. Leombruni P, Piero A, Lavagnino L, Brustolin A, Campisi S, Fassino S. A randomized, double-blind trial comparing sertraline and fluoxetine 6-month treatment in obese patients with Binge Eating Disorder. Prog Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry 2008;32:1599-605.

32. Masheb RM, Grilo CM, Rolls BJ. A randomized controlled trial for obesity and binge eating disorder: low-energy-density dietary counseling and cognitive-behavioral therapy. Behav Res Ther 2011;49:821-9.
33. Masson PC, von Ranson KM, Wallace LM, Safer DL. A randomized wait-list controlled pilot study of dialectical behaviour therapy guided self-help for binge eating disorder. Behav Res Ther 2013;51:723-8.

34. McElroy SL, Hudson J, Ferreira-Cornwell MC, Radewonuk J, Whitaker T, Gasior M. Lisdexamfetamine Dimesylate for Adults with Moderate to Severe Binge Eating Disorder: Results of Two Pivotal Phase 3 Randomized Controlled Trials. Neuropsychopharmacology 2015;0. 35. McElroy SL, Guerdjikova Al, Mori N, Blom TJ, Williams S, Casuto LS, et al. Armodafinil in binge eating disorder: a randomized, placebo-controlled trial. Int Clin Psychopharmacol 2015;30:209-15. 36. McElroy SL, Hudson JI, Mitchell JE, Wilfley D, Ferreira-Cornwell MC, Gao J, et al. Efficacy and safety of lisdexamfetamine for treatment of adults with moderate to severe binge-eating disorder: a randomized clinical trial. JAMA Psychiatry 2015;72:235-46.

37. McElroy SL, Hudson JI, Capece JA, Beyers K, Fisher AC, Rosenthal NR. Topiramate for the treatment of binge eating disorder associated with obesity: a placebo-controlled study. Biol Psychiatry 2007;61:1039-48.

38. McElroy SL, Hudson JI, Malhotra S, Welge JA, Nelson EB, Keck PE, Jr. Citalopram in the treatment of binge-

eating disorder: a placebo-controlled trial. J Clin Psychiatry 2003;64:807-13.
39. McElroy SL, Casuto LS, Nelson EB, Lake KA, Soutullo CA, Keck PE, Jr., et al. Placebo-controlled trial of sertraline in the treatment of binge eating disorder. Am J Psychiatry 2000;157:1004-6.
40. Munsch S, Biedert E, Meyer A, Michael

comparison of cognitive behavioral therapy and behavioral weight loss treatment for overweight individuals with binge eating disorder. Int J Eat Disord 2007;40:102-13. 41. Munsch S, Meyer AH, Biedert E. Efficacy and predictors of long-term treatment success for Cognitive-Behavioral Treatment and Behavioral Weight-Loss-

T, Schlup B, Tuch A, et al. A randomized

Treatment and Behavioral Weight-Loss-Treatment in overweight individuals with binge eating disorder. Behav Res Ther 2012;50:775-85.

42. Pearlstein T, Spurell E, Hohlstein LA, Gurney V, Read J, Fuchs C, et al. A double-blind, placebo-controlled trial of fluvoxamine in binge eating disorder: a high placebo response. Arch Womens Ment Health 2003;6:147-51.

43. Peterson CB, Mitchell JE, Crow SJ, Crosby RD, Wonderlich SA. The efficacy of self-help group treatment and therapistled group treatment for binge eating disorder. Am J Psychiatry 2009;166:1347-54.

44. Ricca V, Castellini G, Mannucci E, Lo Sauro C, Ravaldi C, Rotella CM, et al. Comparison of individual and group cognitive behavioral therapy for binge eating disorder. A randomized, three-year follow-up study. Appetite 2010;55:656-65. 45. Schlup B, Munsch S, Meyer AH, Margraf J, Wilhelm FH. The efficacy of a short version of a cognitive-behavioral treatment followed by booster sessions for binge eating disorder. Behav Res Ther 2009;47:628-35.

46. Fischer S, Meyer AH, Dremmel D, Schlup B, Munsch S. Short-term cognitive-behavioral therapy for binge eating disorder: long-term efficacy and predictors of long-term treatment success. Behav Res Ther 2014;58:36-42.

47. Shapiro JR, Reba-Harrelson L, Dymek-Valentine M, Woolson SL, Hamer RM, Bulik CM. Feasibility and acceptability of CD-ROM-based cognitive-behavioural treatment for binge-eating disorder. Eur Eat Disord Rev 2007;15:175-84. 48. ter Huurne ED, de Haan HA, Postel MG, van der Palen J, VanDerNagel JE, DeJong CA. Web-Based Cognitive Behavioral Therapy for Female Patients With Eating Disorders: Randomized Controlled Trial. J Med Internet Res 2015;17:e152. 49. White MA, Grilo CM. Bupropion for overweight women with binge-eating disorder: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. J Clin Psychiatry 2013;74:400-6.

50. Wilfley DE, Welch RR, Stein RI, Spurrell EB, Cohen LR, Saelens BE, et al. A randomized comparison of group cognitive-behavioral therapy and group interpersonal psychotherapy for the treatment of overweight individuals with binge-eating disorder. Arch Gen Psychiatry 2002;59:713-21.

51. Hilbert A, Bishop ME, Stein RI, Tanofsky-Kraff M, Swenson AK, Welch RR, et al. Long-term efficacy of psychological treatments for binge eating disorder. Br J Psychiatry 2012;200:232-7.

52. Wilson GT, Wilfley DE, Agras WS, Bryson SW. Psychological treatments of binge eating disorder. Arch Gen Psychiatry 2010;67:94-101.

53. Hilbert A, Hildebrandt T, Agras WS, Wilfley DE, Wilson GT. Rapid response in psychological treatments for binge eating

disorder. J Consult Clin Psychol 2015;83:649-54.