

Datorassisterad granskning inom mammografiscreening (CAD)

Bilaga 1 Sökstrategi

PubMed 1950–2010 (september)

Sökstrategi: effekt av CAD¹ samt ekonomiska aspekter

| | | |
|------------------|-----|-------------------------------|
| Breast neoplasms | AND | Computer aided detection (TW) |
| Breast | | Computer aided diagnosis (TW) |
| Mammography | | Cad (TW) |
| Breast (TW) | | |
| Mammography (TW) | | |

Cochrane Library t o m september 2010

Sökstrategi: effekt av CAD samt ekonomiska aspekter

| | | |
|--------------------------|-----|---------------------------------------|
| Breast neoplasms | AND | Computer aided detection (ti, ab, kw) |
| Breast | | Computer aided diagnosis (ti, ab, kw) |
| Mammography (ti, ab, kw) | | Cad (ti, ab, kw) |
| Breast (ti, ab, kw) | | |

Embase 1966–2010 (september)

Sökstrategi: effekt av CAD samt ekonomiska aspekter

| | | |
|------------------|-----|-------------------------------|
| Breast tumor/exp | AND | Computer aided detection (TW) |
| Breast/exp | | Computer aided diagnosis (TW) |
| Mammography/exp | | Cad (TW) |

Söktermerna i PubMed har utgjorts av MeSH-termer (NLM:s kontrollerade nyckelord, Medical Subject Heading) om inget annat anges.

TW = text word

Söktermerna i Cochrane Library har utgjorts av MeSH-termer (NLM:s kontrollerade nyckelord, Medical Subject Heading) om inget annat anges.
ti = title; **ab** = abstract; **kw** = keyword

Söktermerna i Embase har utgjorts av nyckelord specifika för databasen.

/exp = explode; **TW** = text word

¹ "Computer-aided detection".

Bilaga 2 Exkluderade medicinska effektstudier

Numreringen av referenslistan nedan hänvisar till referensnummer i avsnittet "Metodik för den systematiska litteraturgenomgången" i huvudrapporten.

Exklusionsorsak: Översiktartiklar

9. Helvie M. Improving mammographic interpretation: double reading and computer-aided diagnosis. *Radiol Clin North Am* 2007;45(5):801-11, vi.
19. Houssami N, Given-Wilson R, Ciatto S. Early detection of breast cancer: overview of the evidence on computer-aided detection in mammography screening. *J Med Imaging Radiat Oncol* 2009;53(2):171-6.
30. Bennett RL, Blanks RG, Moss SM. Does the accuracy of single reading with CAD (computer-aided detection) compare with that of double reading?: A review of the literature. *Clin Radiol* 2006;61(12):1023-8.
31. Boyer B, Balleguier C, Granat O, Pharaboz C. CAD in questions/answers Review of the literature. *Eur J Radiol* 2009;69(1):24-33.
32. Chersevani R, Ciatto S, Del Favero C, Frigerio A, Giordano L, Giuseppetti G, et al. "CADEAT": considerations on the use of CAD (computer-aided diagnosis) in mammography. *Radiol Med* 2010;115(4):563-70.
33. Kolb GR. The financial impact of computer-aided detection on the mammography practice. *Applied Radiology* 2001;30 (11 SUPPL.):21-4.
34. Nishikawa RM. Evaluation of computer-aided detection and computer detection systems. *Applied Radiology* 2001;30 (11 SUPPL.):14-6.
35. Noble M, Bruening W, Uhl S, Schoelles K. Computer-aided detection mammography for breast cancer screening: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Arch Gynecol Obstet* 2009;279(6): 881-90.
36. Taylor P, Potts HW. Computer aids and human second reading as interventions in screening mammography: two systematic reviews to compare effects on cancer detection and recall rate. *Eur J Cancer* 2008;44(6):798-807.

Exklusionsorsak: Studierna inte gjorda på ett screeningmaterial utan hade selekterade eller berikade populationer

20. Skaane P, Kshirsagar A, Stapleton S, Young K, Castellino RA. Effect of computer-aided detection on independent double reading of paired screen-film and full-field digital screening mammograms. *AJR Am J Roentgenol* 2007;188(2):377-84.
25. Taylor P, Champness J, Given-Wilson R, Johnston K, Potts H. Impact of computer-aided detection prompts on the sensitivity and specificity of screening mammography. *Health Technol Assess* 2005;9(6):iii, 1-58.
28. Moberg K, Bjurstam N, Wilczek B, Rostgard L, Egge E, Muren C. Computed assisted detection of interval breast cancers. *Eur J Radiol* 2001;39(2):104-10.
37. Brancato B, Houssami N, Francesca D, Bianchi S, Risso G, Catarzi S, et al. Does computer-aided detection (CAD) contribute to the performance of digital mammography in a self-referred population? *Breast Cancer Res Treat* 2008;111(2):373-6.
38. Brem RF, Baum J, Lechner M, Kaplan S, Souders S, Naul LG, et al. Improvement in sensitivity of screening mammography with computer-aided detection: a multiinstitutional trial. *AJR Am J Roentgenol* 2003;181(3):687-93.
39. Cawson JN, Nickson C, Amos A, Hill G, Whan AB, Kavanagh AM. Invasive breast cancers detected by screening mammography: a detailed comparison of computer-aided detection-assisted single reading and double reading. *J Med Imaging Radiat Oncol* 2009;53(5):442-9.

40. Ciatto S, Ambrogetti D, Collini G, Cruciani A, Ercolini E, Risso G, et al. Computer-aided detection (CAD) of cancers detected on double reading by one reader only. *Breast* 2006;15(4):528-32.
41. Ciatto S, Brancato B, Rosselli Del Turco M, Risso G, Catarzi S, Morrone D, et al. Comparison of standard reading and computer aided diagnosis (CAD) on a proficiency test of screening mammography. *Radiol Med* 2003;106(1-2):59-65.
42. Ciatto S, Del Turco MR, Risso G, Catarzi S, Bonardi R, Viterbo V, et al. Comparison of standard reading and computer aided detection (CAD) on a national proficiency test of screening mammography. *Eur J Radiol* 2003;45(2):135-8.
43. Dean JC, Ilvento CC. Improved cancer detection using computer-aided detection with diagnostic and screening mammography: prospective study of 104 cancers. *AJR Am J Roentgenol* 2006;187(1):20-8.
44. Gilbert FJ, Astley SM, McGee MA, Gillan MG, Boggis CR, Griffiths PM, et al. Single reading with computer-aided detection and double reading of screening mammograms in the United Kingdom National Breast Screening Program. *Radiology* 2006;241(1):47-53.
45. Hukkinen K, Pamilo M. Does computer-aided detection assist in the early detection of breast cancer? *Acta Radiol* 2005;46(2):135-9.
46. Hukkinen K, Vehmas T, Pamilo M, Kivisaari L. Effect of computer-aided detection on mammographic performance: experimental study on readers with different levels of experience. *Acta Radiol* 2006;47(3):257-63.
47. James JJ, Cornford EJ. Does computer-aided detection have a role in the arbitration of discordant double-reading opinions in a breast-screening programme? *Clin Radiol* 2009;64(1):46-51.
48. Jiang Y, Nishikawa RM, Schmidt RA, Metz CE, Giger ML, Doi K. Improving breast cancer diagnosis with computer-aided diagnosis. *Acad Radiol* 1999;6(1):22-33.
49. Karssemeijer N, Otten JD, Verbeek AL, Groenewoud JH, de Koning HJ, Hendriks JH, et al. Computer-aided detection versus independent double reading of masses on mammograms. *Radiology* 2003;227(1):192-200.
50. Kim SJ, Moon WK, Cho N, Cha JH, Kim SM, Im JG. Computer-aided detection in full-field digital mammography: sensitivity and reproducibility in serial examinations. *Radiology* 2008;246(1):71-80.
51. Li JG, Li S, Xu HM, Xu K. Evaluation on the use and results of computer-aided detection for full-field digital mammography. *Chinese Journal of Radiology* 2006;40(7):729-32.
52. Malich A, Marx C, Facius M, Boehm T, Fleck M, Kaiser WA. Tumour detection rate of a new commercially available computer-aided detection system. *Eur Radiol* 2001;11(12):2454-9.
53. Paquerault S, Samuelson FW, Petrick N, Myers KJ, Smith RC. Investigation of reading mode and relative sensitivity as factors that influence reader performance when using computer-aided detection software. *Acad Radiol* 2009;16(9):1095-107.
54. Quek ST, Thng CH, Khoo JB, Koh WL. Radiologists' detection of mammographic abnormalities with and without a computer-aided detection system. *Australas Radiol* 2003;47(3):257-60.
55. Sang KY, Woo KM, Cho N, Jeong SP, Joo HC, Sun MK, et al. Screening mammography-detected cancers: Sensitivity of a computer-aided detection system applied to full-field digital mammograms. *Radiology* 2007;244(1):104-11.
56. Thurjell E, Thurjell MG, Egge E, Bjurstam N. Sensitivity and specificity of computer-assisted breast cancer detection in mammography screening. *Acta Radiol* 1998;39(4):384-8.
57. van den Biggelaar FJ, Kessels AG, van Engelshoven JM, Boetes C, Flobbe K. Computer-aided detection in full-field digital mammography in a clinical population: performance of radiologist and technologists. *Breast Cancer Res Treat* 2010;120(2):499-506.
58. van den Biggelaar FJ, Kessels AG, van Engelshoven JM, Flobbe K. Strategies for digital mammography interpretation in a clinical patient population. *Int J Cancer* 2009;125(12):2923-9.

59. Warren Burhenne LJ, Wood SA, D'Orsi CJ, Feig SA, Kopans DB, O'Shaughnessy KF, et al. Potential contribution of computer-aided detection to the sensitivity of screening mammography. *Radiology* 2000;215(2):554-62.
60. Yang SK, Moon WK, Cho N, Park JS, Cha JH, Kim SM, et al. Screening mammography-detected cancers: sensitivity of a computer-aided detection system applied to full-field digital mammograms. *Radiology* 2007;244(1):104-11.
61. Zheng B, Hardesty LA, Poller WR, Sumkin JH, Golla S. Mammography with computer-aided detection: reproducibility assessment initial experience. *Radiology* 2003;228(1):58-62.
62. Ciatto S, Rosselli Del Turco M, Burke P, Visioli C, Paci E, Zappa M. Comparison of standard and double reading and computer-aided detection (CAD) of interval cancers at prior negative screening mammograms: blind review. *Br J Cancer* 2003;89(9):1645-9.
63. Destounis SV, DiNitto P, Logan-Young W, Bonaccio E, Zuley ML, Willison KM. Can computer-aided detection with double reading of screening mammograms help decrease the false-negative rate? Initial experience. *Radiology* 2004;232(2):578-84.
64. Birdwell RL, Bandodkar P, Ikeda DM. Computer-aided detection with screening mammography in a university hospital setting. *Radiology* 2005;236(2):451-7.
65. Cupples TE, Cunningham JE, Reynolds JC. Impact of computer-aided detection in a regional screening mammography program. *AJR Am J Roentgenol* 2005;185(4):944-50.
66. Fenton JJ, Taplin SH, Carney PA, Abraham L, Sickles EA, D'Orsi C, et al. Influence of computer-aided detection on performance of screening mammography. *N Engl J Med* 2007;356(14):1399-409.
67. Freer TW, Ulissey MJ. Screening mammography with computer-aided detection: prospective study of 12,860 patients in a community breast center. *Radiology* 2001;220(3):781-6.
68. Gur D, Sumkin JH, Rockette HE, Ganott M, Hakim C, Hardesty L, et al. Changes in breast cancer detection and mammography recall rates after the introduction of a computer-aided detection system. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 2004;96(3):185-90.
69. Karssemeijer N, Bluekens AM, Beijerinck D, Deurenberg JJ, Beekman M, Visser R, et al. Breast cancer screening results 5 years after introduction of digital mammography in a population-based screening program. *Radiology* 2009;253(2):353-8.
70. Ko JM, Nicholas MJ, Mendel JB, Slanetz PJ. Prospective assessment of computer-aided detection in interpretation of screening mammography. *AJR Am J Roentgenol* 2006;187(6):1483-91.
71. Morton MJ, Whaley DH, Brandt KR, Amrami KK. Screening mammograms: interpretation with computer-aided detection – prospective evaluation. *Radiology* 2006;239(2):375-83.
72. Sanchez Gomez S, Torres Tabanera M, Vega Bolivar A, Sainz Miranda M, Baroja Mazo A, Ruiz Diaz M, et al. Impact of a CAD system in a screen-film mammography screening program: A prospective study. *Eur J Radiol* 2010. [Epub ahead of print].

Exklusionsorsak: Endast enkelgranskning som jämförelse

64. Birdwell RL, Bandodkar P, Ikeda DM. Computer-aided detection with screening mammography in a university hospital setting. *Radiology* 2005;236(2):451-7.
65. Cupples TE, Cunningham JE, Reynolds JC. Impact of computer-aided detection in a regional screening mammography program. *AJR Am J Roentgenol* 2005;185(4):944-50.
66. Fenton JJ, Taplin SH, Carney PA, Abraham L, Sickles EA, D'Orsi C, et al. Influence of computer-aided detection on performance of screening mammography. *N Engl J Med* 2007;356(14):1399-409.

73. James JJ, Gilbert FJ, Wallis MG, Gillan MG, Astley SM, Boggis CR, et al. Mammographic features of breast cancers at single reading with computer-aided detection and at double reading in a large multicenter prospective trial of computer-aided detection: CADET II. *Radiology* 2010;256(2):379-86.

Exklusionsorsak: Besvarade inte frågeställningarna, övrigt

Bilaga 3 Exkluderade hälsoekonomiska studier

För exklusionsorsaker, se avsnittet "Metodik för den systematiska litteraturgenomgången" i huvudrapporten. Numreringen av referenslistan nedan hänvisar till referensnummer i nämnda avsnitt.

33. Kolb GR. The financial impact of computer-aided detection on the mammography practice. *Applied Radiology* 2001;30 (11 SUPPL.):21-4.
74. Computer-aided detection (CAD) in mammography. *TEC Bull (Online)* 2002;19(3):18-27.
75. Access to iCAD: Computer-aided detection of breast cancer made possible through Second Look 200. *Applied Radiology* 2004(SUPPL.):8-9.
76. Gur D, Sumkin JH. CAD in screening mammography. *AJR Am J Roentgenol* 2006;187(6):1474.
77. Sahiner B. Does computer-aided detection increase the accuracy of interpretation of mammograms? *Nat Clin Pract Oncol* 2007;4(11):630-1.
78. Prieto El. Computer aided detection. *Radiol Manage* 2001;23(3):55.
79. Freer T, Ulissey M. Computer-aided detection in screening mammography. *Applied Radiology* 2001;30(11 SUPPL.):17-20.
80. Gater L. Digital mammography: state of the art. *Radiol Technol* 2002;73(5):445-57; quiz 458-60.
81. Dallessio KM. Technology of computer-aided detection. *Applied Radiology* 2004;33(9):30-6.
82. Destounis S. Computer-aided detection and second reading utility and implementation in a high-volume breast clinic. *Applied Radiology* 2004;33(9):8-15.
83. Erickson BJ, Bartholmai B. Computer-aided detection and diagnosis at the start of the third millennium. *J Digit Imaging* 2002;15(2):59-68.
84. Kopans DB. Double reading. *Radiol Clin North Am* 2000;38(4):719-24.
85. Lado MJ, Tahoces PG, Mendez AJ, Souto M, Vidal JJ. Evaluation of an automated wavelet-based system dedicated to the detection of clustered microcalcifications in digital mammograms. *Med Inform Internet Med* 2001;26(3):149-63.
86. Simonetti G, Cossu E, Montanaro M, Caschili C, Giuliani V. What's new in mammography. *Eur J Radiol* 1998;27 Suppl 2:S234-41.
87. Ulissey MJ. Computer-aided detection in mammography: Applications and repercussions. *Applied Radiology* 2006;35(10):38-45.
88. Dixon GD. Current status and issues of concern in diagnostic radiology. *Mo Med* 2005;102(2):110-5.
89. Elmore JG, Carney PA. Computer-aided detection of breast cancer: has promise outstripped performance? *J Natl Cancer Inst* 2004;96(3):162-3.
90. Hall FM. Breast imaging and computer-aided detection. *N Engl J Med* 2007;356(14):1464-6.
91. Mammographic computer-aided detection systems. *Health Devices* 2003;32(4):141-64.
92. Ciatto S, Brancato B, Baglioni R, Turci M. A methodology to evaluate differential costs of full field digital as compared to conventional screen film mammography in a clinical setting. *Eur J Radiol* 2006;57(1):69-75.
93. Kolb GR. New tools for cost-effective delivery of breast imaging. *Radiol Manage* 2002;24(4):22-6, 28, 30; quiz 32-4.
94. Kolb GR. Computer-aided detection: Redefining the economics of breast imaging. *Seminars in Breast Disease* 2002;5(4):247-51.
95. Maleyeff J, Newell LB, Kaminsky FC. Probability modeling applied to CAD systems for mammography. *Int J Health Care Qual Assur Inc Leadersh Health Serv* 2004;17(2-3):125-34.
96. Lindfors KK, McGahan MC, Rosenquist CJ, Hurlock GS. Computer-aided detection of breast cancer: a cost-effectiveness study. *Radiology* 2006;239(3):710-7.